Τ	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	DOMESTIC VIOLENCE TASK FORCE VIRTUAL MEETING
7	January 18, 2022
8	Held via Webex
9	
10	
11	PRESENT:
12	Hon. Anna Barbara Hantz Marconi,
13	Associate Justice, New Hampshire Supreme Court
14	Hon. Susan Carbon, Circuit Court Judge
15	Hon. Diane Nicolosi, Superior Court Judge
16	Hon. John Yazinski, Circuit Court Judge
17	Mary Barton, Clerk, Circuit Court
18	Merrill Beauchamp, Director, Victim &
19	Witness Program
20	Kathy Beebe, Executive Director, Haven NH
21	Kristyn Bernier, Investigator, Belknap
22	County Attorney's Office
23	Steven Endres, Assistant County Attorney,
24	Merrimack County
25	Martha Ann Hornick, Grafton County



1 Attorney 2 Mary Krueger, Attorney, NHLA 3 Lynda Ruel, Director, Office of Victim/Witness Assistance, NH DOJ 4 5 Scott Hampton, Director, Ending the 6 Violence 7 David Hobbs, Hampton, NH Association of 8 Chiefs of Police 9 Lyn Schollett, Executive Director, New 10 Hampshire Coalition 11 Amanda Grady Sexton, Director of Public 12 Affairs, New Hampshire Coalition 13 Jon Strasburger, New Hampshire 14 Association of Criminal Defense Attorneys 15 David Vicinanzo, Attorney, DOVE Program 16 Patricia LaFrance, Attorney, The Black 17 Law Group Betsy Paine, Attorney, CASA NH 18 19 Pam Dodge, NHBA DOVE Program & 603 Legal 20 Sarah Freeman, Circuit Court 21 Administrator 22 Jean Kilham, Manager, NHJB Domestic 23 Violence Program 24 Erin Jasina, Director, NHLA DV Program



Anne Zinkin, Supervisory Law Clerk, NHSC

1	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: Thank you.
2	Our third meeting technically, our
3	fourth of the task force is beginning,
4	this one to focus on the issue of
5	publication of domestic violence
6	appellate decisions. And frankly, I
7	think we can think about trial court
8	decisions, as well, as we think about
9	this.
10	Before we get started, though, I
11	want to circle back to last week and
12	mention that during our second meeting,
13	there was an exchange which may have and
14	probably did indicate to many
15	participants that the court wasn't
16	interested in hearing all the criticism
17	or anecdotal information or reports of
18	issues.
19	And I just I sent an email around
20	earlier today. I think there is a role
21	in what we're doing for both anecdotal
22	(audio interference) and also some good
23	statistical information to inform our
24	discussions.
25	So both and I thank Kristyn for

	Domestic Violence Task Force - 1/18/22
1	sort of bringing this not bringing it
2	to my attention but having a more
3	elongated conversation. I know that some
4	others called Anne. I appreciate that.
5	And I want to assure the task force and
6	that all issues related to what we're
7	trying to do should be heard, will be
8	heard. And I don't want anyone to think
9	that we're not here with open ears.
LO	As I said from the very beginning,
11	there are limits to what we can do, but
12	the goal of we the court can do,
L3	specifically. But the goal of this task
L 4	force is to identify what I'll call gaps,
15	if you will, in the system, some within
L 6	the court, for sure, some that may take
L 7	other entities to look at.
L 8	But I think my hope, and I would
L 9	assume it's shared by the task force, is
20	we can identify where more work needs to
21	be done. So if there are any comments on
22	that point, I'd love to hear them now, or
23	we can move into the next topic.
24	And again, this is not a one-off,
25	this task force. (Audio interference)



	Domestic Violence Task Force - 1/18/22
1	regimented it pretty strictly so we can
2	get through what it is we are tasked to
3	do. But this is a beginning; it is not
4	the end of addressing these issues.
5	And I know that many of you have
6	been, so-called, around the table on
7	these issues for many years, prior to my
8	involvement. And I anticipate that a lot
9	of you will be around the table going
10	forward. So I look at this as an
11	opportunity to guide and direct that
12	future effort. There you go. Comments,
13	input, feedback?
14	All right. I assume you've all done
15	your homework on publication of domestic
16	violence appellate and, let's say, trial
17	court decisions. Any thoughts on this
18	one?
19	And I will just sort of lay my
20	perspective of how this became an issue.
21	From the internal review of the case that
22	prompted the task force, it became
23	apparent that trial judges were relying
24	on appellate decisions that, while
25	public, were not published.



1	And so as I outlined in my little
2	outline about this charge, as with
3	everything in the electronic age, things
4	have been evolving. So prior to 2011,
5	nothing was posted. Then prior to e-
6	Filing but with sort of a web presence,
7	we were posting all orders, we the
8	Supreme Court.
9	Then given someone waking up to the
10	prohibitions of the Violence Against
11	Women Act, we started to not publish
12	nonprecedential orders. And we would
13	scrub the precedential opinions to take
L 4	away identifying information.
L5	I think the low-hanging fruit in
16	this topic is maybe we start scrubbing
L7	all the nonprecedential orders so that
L8	they can be posted. So again, while
L 9	these orders were public and anyone could
20	go and get them, we just we as a
21	government agency are not allowed to post
22	them with identifying information.
23	So one obvious solution is to scrub
24	all these decisions, orders, and let them
) 5	all he posted on the internet I am

	Domestic Violence Task Force - 1/18/22
1	happy to hear other thoughts on the
2	issue.
3	MS. KRUEGER: This is Mary Krueger
4	from New Hampshire Legal Assistance.
5	This has come up prior to this task
6	force. Last year we've been hearing
7	about these orders coming out. And the
8	S.K. v. J.M. case, which came up in the
9	review of the L.S. v. R.L. situation, was
10	a case that we had heard about, but then
11	we couldn't find it.
12	And then we figured out, oh, it's
13	not on the website and then did some
14	further digging and learned that my
15	understanding, at least, was because of
16	the Violence Against Women Act
17	prohibition to disseminate identifying
18	information about victims on the
19	internet, which is a good policy,
20	prevented the court from putting up some
21	of these nonprecedential orders.

However, at the same time,

naturally, these orders were being

circulated within the court system. And

if there was counsel involved, they would

22

23

24

	Domestic Violence Task Force - 1/18/22
1	get a copy, certainly, because it was up
2	on appeal.
3	But what was hard for us was that we
4	didn't have any reason to know that these
5	orders were being issued. And but for,
6	like, sending somebody over to the
7	Supreme Court to check on a regular
8	basis, there's no way that we would know
9	that the court had issued an order.
LO	And as we know, that S.K. case that
11	came out last I don't know if it was
L2	July or when it was was relied upon as
L3	a case to help make a decision. And if
L 4	we don't, as attorneys, know about these
L5	cases, how can we expect pro se litigants
16	or self-represented parties know about
L7	these cases?
L8	So it seems to us that the solution
L 9	is to make put them with the initials,
20	as was done in the L.C. case, which was
21	an opinion, and have the order itself
22	written in a way so as not to provide
23	identifying information and/or redact it
24	so that it is equally available to the

public so that we can all understand what

	Domestic Violence Task Force - 1/18/22
1	the court has decided and why, in order
2	to best articulate and craft our cases.
3	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: I think that
4	makes sense. Noting the distinction
5	between precedential orders that
6	establish, in a way, a new element or
7	legal concept and nonprecedential orders
8	that I mean, at least this was the
9	thinking for many, many years
10	nonprecedential orders that are not cited
11	as precedent but do provide guidance in
12	terms of applying the law to a specific
13	set of acts.
14	And so that, I think, is the
15	distinction that has always existed in
16	many case types. When things go by 3JX
17	or nonprecedential order, it seems to be
18	more fact-driven and only applicable to
19	those parties.
20	Here, we have a glaring example,
21	where counsel and litigants can learn
22	from a nonprecedential order the
23	application of the law to facts. So I
24	think that makes a lot of sense. It was
25	a period of time, as we indicated in the

	Domestic Violence Task Force - 1/18/22
1	notes that I sent around, nonprecedential
2	orders were published, if you will, on
3	the court's website from 2014 to 2017,
4	like I said, until someone woke up.
5	So we've had this issue, if you
6	will, from 2018 to the present. So we're
7	looking at a three-year period where
8	those orders were not available unless
9	someone came to the court, looked up DV
10	cases and again, this is the Supreme
11	Court and got copies of those orders.
12	So I think that's a very valid
13	observation.
14	Anyone else? I mean, and again, the
15	prohibition is the court posting on the
16	internet, as we circulated with and
17	did a little bit of research to figure
18	out the exact wording. And that's what
19	we are looking at. It is not a
20	prohibition against private agencies.
21	It's a prohibition against states and
22	government agencies, which is another
23	interesting conundrum. But certainly
24	MS. KRUEGER: Justice Hantz
25	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: Yeah.



	Domestic Violence Task Force - 1/18/22
1	Certainly, scrubbing the orders, if you
2	will, of identifying information is a
3	logical response.
4	MS. KRUEGER: Justice Hantz Marconi,
5	I'm glad that you pointed out that these
6	are nonprecedential orders. And I think
7	that that we just have to really think
8	about how a nonprecedential order became
9	so influential in a case.
10	And I don't know if that's under
11	this charge 3 or not, but I just think
12	it's worth thinking about how a
13	nonprecedential case can influence the
14	decision making versus an opinion that
15	the court has issued and really sticking
16	within the opinions of the court to
17	really be able to guide us to
18	understanding how a statute ought to
19	apply.
20	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: Well, and I
21	think, frankly, this is a conversation
22	that not just in this topic area is
23	becoming and again, I look at the long
24	ball, so or the long viewpoint.
25	Back in the day, when I started



1	practicing, nothing was on the internet.
2	And so you really only had a body of
3	caselaw that was the "published opinions"
4	that you could find. And we, as lawyers,
5	would do a lot of digging in a particular
6	case type to find trial court orders or
7	other things.
8	So I think this is an evolution with
9	more information being available. That
LO	old distinction between precedential
11	orders, i.e., new statements of the law,
L2	and nonprecedential orders may be
L3	something that we in the court need to
L 4	look at because even a so-called
L 5	nonprecedential order applying old tort
L 6	concepts or non-not-new contract
L 7	interpretation can still provide guidance
L 8	to a litigant.
L 9	And particularly in this space, I
20	think those nonprecedential orders, while
21	they aren't new statements of the law, we
22	aren't overruling anything or making a
23	new statement on an interpretation of
24	statute, but certainly, it still provides

guidance to litigants.

1	So I think this issue has I can
2	see a recommendation emanating from this
3	task force to look at this space but not
4	just looking at nonprecedential orders
5	in this space but not just in this space,
6	because the reality is they do provide
7	guidance.
8	MS. SCHOLLETT: Justice Hantz
9	Marconi, this is Lyn Schollett. I
LO	appreciate you saying that. And I was
11	I also appreciated that in the notes
L2	you've circulated and I'm doing my
13	best to keep up. It's a lot of
L 4	information, but Anne and Lisa have done
L5	a great job starting to pull out some
L 6	proposed recommendations.
L7	And this issue is complicated
L8	because we have, of course, so many
L9	victims who come to court completely
20	unrepresented or may come with an
21	advocate who's not an attorney.
22	So in addition to kind of this
23	philosophical conversation about the
24	impact of precedential and
25	nonprecedential orders from the courts,



	Domestic Violence Task Force - 1/18/22
1	I'd love us to think about training tools
2	or guidance and how do we make that
3	information from those orders as
4	accessible as possible to people who
5	aren't represented or are working with an
6	advocate.
7	And advocates are very knowledgeable
8	about the system, but we too felt that
9	tremendous gap in information. While
10	advocates can certainly be trained to
11	learn the fundamentals of what needs to
12	be in a petition, since it wasn't very
13	accessible, that became challenging.
14	So I think I don't know if
15	there's collaborative training or there
16	would be guidebooks or there might be a
17	handout. I don't know. Maybe it'll
18	impact the forms discussion. But I'd
19	like to think about, also, not just who
20	can access it, but then what do we do
21	with what we learn from those orders as
22	they're changing over time.
23	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: Well, that's
24	an interesting topic. And one thing that



25

has been percolating in the back of my

	Domestic Violence Task Force - 1/18/22
1	mind, when former Chief Justice Broderick
2	goes around and has his mental health
3	initiative, he has a five and this
4	he has sort of the five warning points,
5	red flags, folks with mental health
6	issues, for people interacting with folks
7	who may have mental health issues.
8	And it occurred to me and again,
9	I it may be something that will
LO	emanate from this task force, but
L1	developing so I look at two things.
12	The guided interview process but more
13	sort of on paper, and also, perhaps, a
L 4	card that highlights these trigger
15	warnings, if you will, that could then
L 6	could be drawn from some of these case
L 7	fact patterns. Just a thought, but yes,
L 8	as a training tool to alert.
L 9	And we aren't just talking about
20	advocates in the space or lawyers in the
21	space but members of the public and court
22	staff, again, in trying to guide the
23	process where it needs to go.
24	And I see Pam nodding. I know she's
25	dealt, over time, with lots of tools to

	Domestic Violence Task Force - 1/18/22
1	try to help training DOVE attorneys sort
2	of what to look for. So I think there is
3	some improvement that we, perhaps, can
4	facilitate.
5	MR. ENDRES: Steven Endres here. I
6	guess, interestingly, I didn't even
7	realize until recently that there were
8	nonpublished 3JX opinions and
9	nonpublished opinions that weren't on the
10	internet.
11	And I guess if that's based on the
12	Violence Against Women Act, that's
13	something that'll be national in nature.
14	And I'm just wondering what other states
15	are doing. As I read the language from
16	the Violence Against Women Act, I don't
17	know that this prevents publication of
18	Supreme Court cases. But that's not
19	really up for my for me to interpret.
20	But presumably, other all fifty
21	states are faced with this exact same
22	issue. And I obviously I fall on the
23	side of I would love to see these
19 20 21	really up for my for me to interpre But presumably, other all fift states are faced with this exact same



information is better.

1	And I certainly agree with redacting
2	names or putting in initials or even
3	potentially retitling cases as Domestic
4	Violence 1 or Domestic Violence 2 and
5	just referring to the people as the
6	defendant and the petitioner. And that
7	way, it makes it even harder to figuring
8	of who's who.
9	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: Right. And
10	another question that's come up is, do
11	you identify and this is a bit
12	esoteric, but in a way the statute is
13	written, identifying information,
14	location, so do you identify the circuit
15	that the case is out of? You'll identify
16	the trial judge, which would then
17	identify the circuit, in fact, the
18	district, the division that the case
19	emanated from.
20	So I'd be curious to see what people
21	think about the location issue, because
22	certainly, a scrubbed opinion from a
23	certain location could and again, this
24	wouldn't be an exact violation, I don't
25	think, of the Violence Against Women Act,

	Domestic Violence Task Force - 1/18/22
1	until someone sues us, but would
2	identifying the home town, for example,
3	in small communities up north, would that
4	be a link to identifying the location and
5	perhaps putting a victim at risk? Has
6	anyone thought about that?
7	MS. LAFRANCE: Yeah. Patricia
8	LaFrance here. If we're going to scrub
9	the information and put initials in there
10	for the parties, I guess why wouldn't we
11	also scrub the information about the
12	judge and the location? What purpose
13	does it serve keeping it in there?
14	I mean, I know for, I guess I
15	don't know statistical purposes or if
16	you're really looking for something from
17	a particular judge, it's important. But
18	in terms of just putting it in there,
19	what is the purpose, if we're talking
20	about keeping the parties' identities
21	secret?
22	MR. ENDRES: The only thing that I
23	can say that I like knowing who the judge
24	is is because if I'm bringing that case
25	in front of that same judge and saying, I

	Domestic Violence Task Force - 1/18/22
1	have a 3JX opinion that's
2	nonprecedential, but this person got
3	flipped, if that's the judge I'm going in
4	front of, it's always nice to know that
5	they're the one whose decision may have
6	been questioned.
7	JUDGE NICOLOSI: There are
8	certain
9	MS. KRUEGER: Yeah, I think
10	JUDGE NICOLOSI: some rights
11	openness in the process and some
12	accountability on judges and all of that
13	so that the if you scrub the name of a
14	judge, you wouldn't even know whether or
15	not the judge was following protocols
16	or the public wouldn't. And there is
17	a public's right to accountability for
18	justice. So I would worry, if we got too
19	much off, that we would offend that.
20	MS. KRUEGER: Yeah, I would agree.
21	I think you can have the judge. You
22	could scrub the Circuit Court and still
23	have the judge. Judges move all over the
24	place. But it does remove that



25

accountability piece, which is part of

	Domestic Violence Task Force - 1/18/22
1	the reason why we're here, right?
2	We're trying to talk about how we
3	track these things, and not just when
4	we have anecdotal information, how do we
5	aggregate that so that it becomes more
6	qualitative information that we can
7	track. And doing that without judge
8	names would just complicate that a little
9	bit.
LO	But I do think that as lawyers, when
11	we, like, at New Hampshire Legal
12	Assistance, when we share stories out to
13	our funders, we change all kinds of
L 4	information so that they can't identify
L5	the person who we served with a
L 6	particular issue, the town, the
L7	occupation, things like that that could
L8	possibly allow someone to read it and
L 9	figure out who it is.
20	And I think that's what the Violence
21	Against Women Act is designed to do, so
22	that you can't identify the location of
23	that victim or who that victim is. So I
24	think you would have to it's going to

take more time and effort, but it's not

	Domestic Violence Task Force - 1/18/22
1	like these orders are coming out on a
2	weekly basis, so but it does require
3	some work.
4	JUDGE NICOLOSI: And I don't see why
5	something couldn't be preliminarily
6	sealed, as much information as necessary,
7	case by case. And once a petition is
8	served and a hearing is scheduled, the
9	information is out. And I wouldn't think
10	there would be any reason to continue to
11	keep that secret.
12	But at the initial filing of a
13	petition, particularly when temporary
14	orders are being considered, maybe that's
15	a time that it can be filed with a motion
16	to seal, so if they're not issued,
17	somebody has the opportunity to withdraw
18	it. But
19	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: So you're
20	talking more about trial court orders?
21	JUDGE NICOLOSI: Yeah, any order
22	that ultimately is issued by a judge has
23	to be issued with some sort of notice and
24	opportunity for a hearing. And at that
25	point, the cat's out of the bag.



1	But at the early stages of the case,
2	we've talked about circumstances where a
3	petition is filed and temporary orders
4	may not be granted being the most lethal
5	time, when no orders have issued but
6	something's pending. During that period
7	of time, I would think maybe that would
8	be a more sensitive time for that
9	information not to be divulged.
10	MS. KRUEGER: Well, I don't think
11	that's being shared on the internet. I
12	think the problem
13	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: Right.
14	MS. KRUEGER: is the internet,
15	right, so and then public access to the
16	information that the judges have.
17	JUDGE NICOLOSI: Yeah.
18	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: Right. And
19	that's why I mean, the intranet, we
20	can circulate.
21	JUDGE NICOLOSI: Yeah.
22	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: And so
23	certainly, on our for example, you
24	come to a kiosk, now that we have kiosks,
25	which is only something we've had for the

	Domestic Violence Task Force - 1/18/22
1	past year. But you go to a kiosk. You
2	can search for case types and get orders
3	from the Supreme Court. That's not the
4	internet.
5	JUDGE NICOLOSI: Um-hum.
6	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: That's
7	different, according to my tech people,
8	from posting on our website. So
9	certainly, scrubbing, as we've talked
10	about, is one option. And it really
11	doesn't I don't think it works for the
12	public. Might work for some of the
13	advocates, but it really doesn't work for
14	the public to go on the court kiosk and
15	pull up all these old orders.
16	JUDGE NICOLOSI: Yeah.
17	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: I mean, it
18	could. It could be something you do once
19	a month and see what we've been doing.
20	But that alternative to scrubbing doesn't
21	seem to really provide the public
22	exposure that I think we're looking for.
23	MS. LAFRANCE: So another thought I
24	had, as we're talking about what



information to scrub and we're talking

	Domestic Violence Task Force - 1/18/22
1	about occupations or what a person does
2	for work or any other kind of identifying
3	information, what happens if that
4	information and I've been racking my
5	brain trying to think of an example.
6	But what if it's key to some type of
7	legal issue that the order addresses, but
8	it doesn't necessarily specifically
9	identify one person?
10	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: For example,
11	if they're a law enforcement officer?
12	MS. LAFRANCE: Right.
13	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: Right?
14	MS. LAFRANCE: Yeah.
15	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: It's a good
16	question.
17	MS. LAFRANCE: As long as it's I
18	was thinking uniquely identifying career
19	or occupation would be a United States
20	senator who used to be a governor. Okay,
21	well
22	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: Right.
23	Yeah.
24	MS. LAFRANCE: We only have two of
25	those, so



1	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: Yep. That's
2	an interesting question. The statute
3	says, yeah, "shall not make available
4	publicly on the internet if such
5	publication would be likely to reveal the
6	identity or location of the party
7	protected."
8	So it's really a question of those
9	in the local community who could and
LO	this is what, I think, the court would
L1	have to spend its time thinking about
L2	those in a small, local community, how
L3	much detail does it take to identify
L 4	people?
L5	MS. HORNICK: I was just going to
L 6	say that I was looking at some of those
L7	statistics before the meeting started.
L8	And you look at the case numbers from
L9	some of those smaller circuits, and gee
20	whiz, it would be, I think, pretty easy
21	to figure out who's who in some of those
22	locations. Yeah, that's a real
23	challenge. Gee whiz. But
24	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: Doesn't mean
25	we can't figure something out. And we do

	Domestic Violence Task Force - 1/18/22
1	have, watching silently on the at this
2	meeting, our head clerk, Tim Gudas, who's
3	very interested in this discussion. So
4	it will continue. And certainly, any
5	thoughts, but that is one thing.
6	And someone mentioned this to me.
7	In a small community up north, it
8	wouldn't take much to identify. And so
9	then I think the question is and maybe
10	I don't even Tim will roll his eyes.
11	I don't even want to go there, but we can
12	look at what's posted on the internet and
13	then what's available publicly.
14	But we can't have two official
15	orders out of the Supreme Court. So it
16	may be a balancing act. And it may be
17	few cases that raise this challenge.
18	MS. KRUEGER: Yeah. And one thing
19	you could do is, potentially, to say
20	there is an order, and it's available
21	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: Right.
22	MS. KRUEGER: because that was
23	really the thing is, like, we didn't even
24	know that there was an order and
25	potentially more



- 1 JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: Right. 2 MS. KRUEGER: -- that judges were 3 looking at and --4 JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: Right. 5 MS. KRUEGER: -- and utilizing. 6 So --7 JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: And maybe it 8 is just here's a case number; the order's 9 not posted because of the Violence
- Against Women Act, period, because 11 certain states -- we've started to look 12 at other states. They will put -- they 13 have some standard blather they'll put at

- 14 the bottom of the case, saying this has 15 been scrubbed because, or -- and maybe we 16 just adopt a provision that lets you 17 know, lets people know there is an order, 18 but we're not posting it.
- 19 MS. FREEMAN: One issue to be aware 20 of is that these are not confidential 21 case types. So --
- 22 JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: Right.
- 23 MS. FREEMAN: -- any member of the 24 public could walk into any court location 25 and request copies of the underlying DV



	Domestic Violence Task Force - 1/18/22
1	order. So if you go into a small
2	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: Right.
3	MS. FREEMAN: location, where
4	there may be ten orders from a particular
5	month or year, with particular initials,
6	it's very easy to identify which is a
7	Supreme Court opinion from that court.
8	But on the flip side, anybody can
9	walk into those circuit courts now and
10	request that information, and in fact,
11	can request that information before it
12	has been served on a defendant in the
13	case of a temporary order.
14	So I think this idea of not posting
15	the Supreme Court opinions comes from a
16	really good protective place because
17	there may be reasons why you cannot scrub
18	meaningfully identifying information from
19	a Supreme Court opinion because of what
20	has been said, the occupation, the
21	immigration status, a lot of different
22	characteristics that might be rare in a
23	small, rural community in New Hampshire.
24	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: And so then

just noting that a case has been issued

- 1 might not be a bad fix. It wouldn't
- 2 change the dynamic all that much, because
- 3 again, anyone could come into Supreme
- 4 Court, look up DV case types on the
- 5 kiosk, and get those nonprecedential
- orders because you're right. They are
- 7 not confidential case types.
- 8 We have those that are confidential
- 9 by statute; everything's confidential.
- 10 These are not that, and the orders are
- 11 available.
- MS. DODGE: Do you think --
- MS. SCHOLLETT: This is Lyn
- 14 Schollet.
- MS. DODGE: Oh, sorry.
- MS. SCHOLLETT: Go ahead, Pam.
- MS. DODGE: No, go ahead, Lyn. I
- 18 can wait.
- MS. SCHOLLETT: I just had a follow-
- 20 up question. And maybe this is my not
- 21 knowing the system well enough. I guess
- I wonder, if that were the case, how is
- that practically different than where we
- 24 are now? Wouldn't, if we -- wouldn't
- judges still be having access to those



	Domestic Violence Task Force - 1/18/22
1	nonprecedential opinions and possibly
2	relying on them, but they still would be
3	less accessible to unrepresented
4	litigants?
5	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: They'd still
6	be they'd be people who went to the
7	website would know they existed, but
8	they'd still have to go make an effort to
9	obtain them, yeah. They couldn't just
LO	read them on the internet.
11	MS. SCHOLLETT: Which just seems
L2	like a very high bar for people who don't
13	have a lawyer and advocate. I think
L 4	that's not I don't think that's
L 5	practical for a lot of those, so
L 6	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: I think, as
L7	Steve mentioned, it's probably a high bar
L8	for people who are a lawyer, right, that
L 9	not everybody even though we try to
20	make our website very transparent and
21	user-friendly, it isn't always, so
22	MS. SCHOLLETT: Well, maybe this
23	is maybe this is an issue, then, for a
24	recommendation to be about having a
25	working group that would look at this,



	Domestic Violence Task Force - 1/18/22
1	because it seems like we need a lot of
2	we need a lot of the same stakeholders
3	who are here to have input on,
4	practically, how that would pan out
5	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: Yeah.
6	MS. SCHOLLETT: maybe including
7	adding a few front-line advocates.
8	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: Yeah.
9	MS. DODGE: One thing I was thinking
10	we could add to it is if we did just a
11	list of the case numbers, if we could put
12	relative to what the precedential case is
13	so that people who see that there are
14	cases out know what it is about, and then
15	they can go find the case.
16	JUDGE NICOLOSI: Yeah. Yeah, there
17	could be a little
18	MS. DODGE: So if they have
19	JUDGE NICOLOSI: summary, like,
20	just what the subject matter, what the
21	legal issue is
22	MS. DODGE: Exactly.
23	JUDGE NICOLOSI: in the case,
24	so



MS. DODGE: And that way --

1	JUDGE NICOLOSI: like, with the
2	docket number. And then we could know,
3	at least, what the courts addressed,
4	sufficiency of
5	MS. DODGE: Right.
6	JUDGE NICOLOSI: evidence or
7	interpretation of the statute or some
8	little blurb.
9	MS. DODGE: Yeah. And it's not just
10	this long list of cases.
11	JUDGE NICOLOSI: Yeah.
12	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: Right.
13	MS. PAINE: I think, though, one of
14	the underlying pieces here is the gap
15	between the sort of public understanding
16	of IPV and what it takes to get a
17	protective order. And we would do this
18	to try to close the gap and to provide
19	the language that the court is using when
20	it upholds the issuance of a order of
21	protection.
22	And the problem that if there's
23	going to be a working group would need to
24	think about is that's a constant. And to
25	keep training materials updated for



1	practitioners, for advocates, for and
2	how to keep any sort of public
3	information for those petitioners who
4	aren't going to seek counsel or an
5	advocate, how do we how do we even
6	give them the tools that they need and
7	keep whatever it is as up to date as
8	judges are?
9	And I think one of the gaps that
10	opened up in the case that started this
11	was the gap between what was understood
12	on one side of the counter and the
13	driving factors of all of the opinions
14	that were being considered.
15	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: Again, I
16	almost get, too, in the handout materials
17	that are routinely disseminated you're
18	right, keeping up to date on what can,
19	what does, and what doesn't constitute a
20	basis for relief under 173-B may be an
21	opportunity to do some of that educating,
22	drawn from these cases.
23	I don't see members of the public
24	doing that sort of analysis. I do see
25	that coming from an entity that is in the

	Domestic Violence Task Force - 1/18/22
1	business of preparing those materials.
2	Some of that comes from the court. A lot
3	of it comes from other where's Pam
4	from other agencies that are tasked with
5	preparing those materials.
6	JUDGE NICOLOSI: And we talked last
7	time about doing some sort of guided
8	interviews, elicit information, which I
9	think is a fabulous idea because it
10	allows structure for people to fill in
11	information that might be informed a
12	little by people by the Supreme Court
13	cases.
14	What I find with in a lot of the
15	cases and I don't do many, at this
16	point, in the Superior Court, but we talk
17	about either getting too much or too
18	little. And there's really truth to that
19	because you have to kind of get
20	(indiscernible) enough in a thirty-minute
21	hearing to be able to focus people.

And it's hard to do that with making people not -- trying to get -- allow people to feel heard but to try and guide them. And if there was some sort of



	Domestic Violence Task Force - 1/18/22
1	questionnaire or something that would
2	help people do that, I think that
3	would maybe we could respond in
4	with those questions to the legal issues
5	that have come up from the people who do
6	know, do follow it.
7	We talked I was listening to us
8	talking about the that the belief that
9	there has to be an injury that happens or
10	an event that happens that's recent.
11	It's just not consistent with the
12	language of the statute. That may
13	satisfy one part of the proof. But a
14	judge should really be looking at the
15	whole history of the relationship for
16	deciding whether or not there's some sort
17	of real risk of harm.
18	And but those you could break down
19	into questions, I think, which would help
20	the judges, too, make a good decision.
21	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: Yeah.
22	MR. STRASBURGER: This is Jon
23	Strasburger. I would support if there is
24	a way to publish even the nonprecedential
25	opinions. As somebody who litigates both



	Domestic Violence Task Force - 1/18/22
1	sides of these cases on a regular basis,
2	I think if there's a way to scrub the
3	opinions so that they are compliant with
4	the Violence Against Women Act, it would
5	make sense to make them available.
6	I mean, based upon my review of the
7	report from the internal review that was
8	done, it looks like there are memos that
9	are regularly circulated amongst the
LO	bench. And I would think it would make
11	sense for both the public and for
L2	advocates and attorneys to have that
L3	information.
L 4	I hear everybody's concerns about
L 5	making sure that identities of litigants
L 6	are not at risk. I think that's a
L 7	significant concern. But just to mirror
L8	what Lyn was saying, I think if members
L 9	of the public and even counsel are
20	limited to looking at opinions on a
21	kiosk, that's going to make the access to
22	the information pretty difficult to get.
23	So I would just say if it's
24	something that's going to be circulated,

if these are opinions that are going to

	Domestic Violence Task Force - 1/18/22
1	be circulated amongst the bench, I would
2	think it would be helpful for attorneys
3	and advocates and members of the public
4	who have to participate in this process
5	to have access to that information.
6	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: Well, and
7	the other thing I don't know and I'll
8	put Judge Carbon on the spot. I don't
9	know how long again, I think of my
10	I started practice with no internet at
11	all.
12	And my former partner, Morgan
13	Hollis, would say, remember the good old
14	days when you would dictate a letter, get
15	typed up, mail to the other side, and
16	you'd have a few days to, like, relax
17	before the response came back with the
18	amending contract, and then you picked it
19	up again, and things happened at a much
20	slower pace.
21	I don't know, in the olden days, how
22	many of those orders got circulated among
23	the bench. But now, in this world that
24	we live in, they are. And so it has

25

created this sort of -- a different level

	Domestic Violence Task Force - 1/18/22
1	of information sharing that only went so
2	far.
3	So I don't know if Judge Carbon can
4	speak to that at all, but I surmise
5	there's a bit of a difference.
6	JUDGE CARBON: Well, certainly, now,
7	with having internet capability and being
8	able to email, judges have listservs like
9	the lawyers do, and we share information.
10	We also have some staff attorneys who
11	routinely do summaries of the most
12	significant cases. So that's another way
13	that they're brought to our attention
14	quickly.
15	But we always read decisions that
16	come out, of course, to be updated on
17	them. But these are pieces of
18	information that are more readily
19	accessible to us than they were in the
20	past. And that's just very helpful for
21	us, keeping current, but it means that
22	you've got the bench that may be more
23	current with what's going on than the bar
24	and the public. I think there's the rub
25	that is the concern dealing with here.



Domestic Violence Task Force - 1/18/22

1	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: Yeah. I
2	find this. You look at big trends. And
3	this is me, and you'll probably get sick
4	of this. But I look at things sort of
5	over time. And I won't go too far
6	afield, but I think it was Steve Forbes
7	did a really wonderful speech, once,
8	about the Industrial Revolution bringing
9	people together in close proximity and
10	the internet spreading people out but
11	also providing almost more intimate
12	interaction with information.
13	So I see this point where we are
14	right now as a confluence of many
15	factors. So that's my Philosophy 101, if
16	you're (audio interference).
17	MR. ENDRES: Another thing I'm
18	thinking about with information on the
19	internet is the Supreme Court livestreams
20	a lot of their oral arguments now. And
21	I'm wondering are domestic violence cases
22	that are having oral arguments in front
23	of the Supreme Court being livestreamed,
24	and if so, if that violates the Violence
25	Against Women Act.



Domestic Violence Task Force - 1/18/22

1	JUDGE NICOLOSI: A great question.
2	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: And this is
3	interesting. They're public, so my
4	and I'll be corrected by everybody else
5	who works here. But if a domestic
6	violence case is argued, it would be
7	argued without reference to any
8	identifying information. So it's person
9	A, person B, and no other. And lawyers
LO	are instructed, and we are instructed,
11	and no one asks any identifying
L2	questions.
L3	Often or alternatively, if they're
L 4	done on a submit basis, then, of course,
15	there is no argument. But the argument
L 6	itself would be livestreamed because it's
L7	not a confidential case. So we only so-
L8	called clear the courtroom and limit the
19	livestream if it's a statutorily
20	confidential case.
21	MS. LAFRANCE: So I have a quick
22	question/comment. As we're talking about
23	what information to put, how much
24	information to put out there, as I read
25	VAWA, that particular section about

	Domestic Violence Task Force - 1/18/22
1	publicly identifying individuals, what's
2	the remedy? And what if the disclosure
3	is inadvertent? There's no mens rea, if
4	you will, in that statute.
5	Is it only a state can't knowingly
6	put the information out there? What if
7	we come up with this brilliant way to
8	scrub everything that would identify a
9	victim, put all the opinions, whether
10	they're precedential or not, out there,
11	and then whoever's doing the redacting or
12	whatever needs to be done misses
13	something? What is the remedy? Do we
14	remove it? Do we correct it? Is there
15	some type of slap on the wrist? What do
16	we do?
17	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: It's a good
18	question.
19	MS. LAFRANCE: I don't see that in
20	the VAWA, right? It doesn't say what the
21	remedy is. It just says, don't do it.
22	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: Right.
23	Maybe Merrick Garland comes and I
24	don't know shuts us down. It's a very
25	good question. Then we could have a big



	Domestic Violence Task Force - 1/18/22
1	federalism and have a big court case.
2	But I think it's I mean, it says
3	"shall". But you're right. If someone
4	misses it, who knows?
5	If it's a and again, for a couple
6	of years, we were posting until we
7	realized we shouldn't be posting. And
8	maybe we should have thought longer and
9	harder about alternatives to not posting.
10	But that's a very good question for which
11	I have no answer.
12	Amanda, do you have any idea of what
13	the thought was? Weren't you involved
14	with this, or at least amendments
15	thereto? No?
16	MS. SEXTON: I'm not sure I read
17	that provision the same way. I think I
18	might be in the same boat as Steve. But
19	I think that there's clearly a way to
20	work through this. I think it's going
21	to we could continue to have this
22	discussion for many hours.
23	So I do think it makes sense to have
24	a recommendation that a group of diverse

stakeholders take a look at how we can

	Domestic Violence Task Force - 1/18/22
1	make sure that people have the critical
2	information that they need without
3	putting survivors in future danger.
4	But I think that that will take some
5	time, and I think that it is a good
6	recommendation that should move forward
7	from this committee. That's how I
8	personally feel.
9	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: Great.
10	MS. FREEMAN: What about publication
11	in the "Bar News" in a way that's not
12	necessarily published on the website but
13	goes out in the printed document that is
14	accessible to the all the lawyers out
15	there, and especially the lawyers who are
16	creating material that are used by
17	survivors and advocates to inform the
18	process?
19	I don't know of the logistics of
20	that, but there are other ways to get the
21	information to lawyers that don't involve
22	the internet that are not as part of a
23	summons going to the Supreme Court.
24	MS. LAFRANCE: I would say I'm not
25	sure if the New Hampshire Bar has the



Case 1:25-	cv-00093-SM Document 39-33 Filed 06/20/25 Page
	Domestic Violence Task Force - 1/18/22
1	bandwidth to handle that. I mean,
2	that's that would increase their
3	the "Bar News" significantly, right, if
4	you're putting all these opinions in
5	there.
6	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: Well, and we
7	may not and I should have done my
8	homework in terms of annual numbers of
9	our cases, which I don't think I did.
10	But whether they could do it from time to
11	time, I don't know. It's an interesting
12	concept.

13 MS. DODGE: Well, and that was one of the other things that I was wondering 14 15 is if the -- if it's not the court's 16 website that's going to be the 17 clearinghouse for this information, what would be the appropriate resource? Where 18 is somebody going to go to get this 19 information? 20

> And I don't -- I think about survivors and where they would typically go. And it's probably their local crisis center or to the New Hampshire Coalition's website, so I -- and I'm not



21

22

23

24

	Domestic Violence Task Force - 1/18/22
1	trying to say that's what should happen,
2	but I'm just trying to think, down the
3	road, if this information isn't on the
4	court's website, where is it going to be?
5	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: Interesting
6	concept for a workaround, maybe.
7	MS. DODGE: Well, yeah. I mean, and
8	perhaps the court's website could publish
9	the case numbers in a quick blurb and
LO	then direction about you can seek support
11	from your local crisis center or visit
L2	the Coalition's website for more
L3	information on how to access information
L 4	on Supreme Court decisions.
L5	MS. KRUEGER: I like the idea of
L 6	having the recommendation I second
L7	what Amanda said about having a group
L8	work on this.
L9	When we discuss this within NHLA and
20	our advocates, it inevitably leads to the
21	question that Sarah Freeman also brought
22	up of once you know that there is an
23	order, then you can do some digging to
24	pretty quickly figure out who people are.

I mean, you just look at the briefs,

	Domestic Violence Task Force - 1/18/22
1	right? Like, we're not, as attorneys,
2	told to scrub our briefs
3	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: Right.
4	MS. KRUEGER: And so you can easily,
5	if you wanted to, go to the Supreme Court
6	and figure out who people are. So how
7	far and that gets a little afield of
8	the VAWA provision, which is what it is.
9	And if you're going to follow it, that's
10	what you need to do.
11	But there's these other issues that
12	inevitably come up about the ability to
13	identify a victim and find a victim,
14	based on the public court record. And so
15	I don't know how we can have this
16	conversation without having that one.
17	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: Well, that's
18	the other point. Is there a missing link
19	here? Should these be confidential
20	cases? That, again, is a that could
21	be something for the legislature, but
22	they aren't right now.
23	So I agree there might be a larger
24	discussion to be had, which brings me to
25	something I've been remiss of announcing



	Domestic Violence Task Force - 1/18/22
1	or requesting of this group. But as we
2	move through these topics, we and the
3	working group are collecting all these
4	thoughts they aren't just evaporating
5	into thin air and will be working on
6	fleshing out some recommendations.
7	And not today, but what I'm going to
8	want is some volunteers for each of these
9	topics to work with the working group to
LO	flesh out our recommendations that'll
11	then be circulated to everyone.
12	But I want folks who are invested or
13	have ideas or have thought this through,
L 4	like NHLA, on various topics to sort of
L5	be the touchstone for the worker bees who
16	are going to be doing some of the writing
L7	so that we don't have twenty people
L8	trying to write a report, which would be
L 9	like trying to create like, a horse by
20	committee ends up being a camel or
21	something like that.
22	So with this particular issue, if
23	NHLA has been thinking about it, maybe
24	you volunteer to spend some time on it

with my working group. And with others,

	Domestic Violence Task Force - 1/18/22
1	as to any other topics that we have
2	covered or will cover, I'm going to be
3	looking for one or two or three folks to
4	volunteer.
5	MS. KRUEGER: Absolutely.
6	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: Good.
7	MS. KRUEGER: We're here.
8	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: Good.
9	Anything else on this? Any other
10	thoughts on this particular topic?
11	And I'll just throw out, although
12	wasn't exactly in our purview, but there
13	is no central posting of trial court
14	orders, although they're, in this
15	instance, form orders in a way, although
16	some have narrative aspects to them. So
17	that may be something that as the future
18	unfolds, and maybe as we get to e-Filing,
19	is something that needs to be kept on our
20	radar screen.
21	Okay. Anything else from anyone
22	else? You're all ready to call it a day
23	All right. Thanks so much. I've been
24	getting, as you know, not to overwhelm



25

you, but in some quiet moment, go to the

Case	1:25-cv-00093-SM Document 39-33 Filed 06/20/25 Page
	Domestic Violence Task Force - 1/18/22
1	Dropbox. We've been getting lots of
2	information, which I'm kind of amazed at
3	the extent of information that's out
4	there but not all in one repository.
5	So that may give us another
6	recommendation at some point. But we are
7	uploading stuff as we get it, and keep it
8	coming.
9	JUDGE NICOLOSI: And one of the
10	(audio interference) we discussed at the
11	last meeting and it didn't make its

way to the notes -- was the continuation of a CBPO after somebody's convicted of a crime so that there's some immediate response from law enforcement. wasn't sure whether that would butt up against any sort of federal law that people knew, or if that's a statute that would require a statutory change.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We've been batting around a little bit the idea of continuing a case without a finding so there's a CBPO that continues. But that's not really a good, functional way of doing it. But it's really a very good point that we issue

	Domestic Violence Task Force - 1/18/22
1	these no-contact orders. They're only
2	effective with a suspended sentence, and
3	there's really no emergency response or
4	generation nationally of the orders. So
5	we could put that on
6	MS. PAINE: Judge Nicolosi, when we
7	created the CBPOs, we did it through the
8	bail statute. So there's that's the
9	statutory authority for the CBPO. And
10	JUDGE NICOLOSI: Um-hum.
11	MS. PAINE: in when we did it,
12	we did discuss the hope, probability,
13	possibility that there could be a
14	sentencing protective order that would
15	then have a life after the bail statute's
16	jurisdiction sort of ran out.
17	JUDGE NICOLOSI: Yeah.
18	MS. PAINE: But I think it would
19	require a statutory change.
20	JUDGE NICOLOSI: Yeah. That might
21	be a good thing to keep on the list.
22	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: Right. I
23	think it could be a good thing to put on
24	our radar, a recommendation and perhaps a
25	suggestion as to who might be involved in



	Domestic Violence Task Force - 1/18/22
1	that, going forward. If it is sort of
2	from the bail perspective or corrections,
3	whatever, there may be folks outside of
4	our task force that are going to need to
5	be involved.
6	JUDGE NICOLOSI: Um-hum. Okay.
7	JUSTICE HANTZ MARCONI: All right.
8	Good chat. Thank you, all, again. I
9	sound like a broken record, but I think
10	we are casting a wide net. So lots of
11	projects that I've been involved in
12	this is one of them things get really,
13	really, really wide, and then it's like,
14	oh my God, this is never going to get to
15	a place where it's going to produce
16	anything effective.
17	But I see this going wide and then
18	getting focused. And I think we're going
19	to have some good outcome, just me. So
20	thanks again for your patience. Thanks
21	for putting up with me and the structure.
22	And I appreciate your attention. And we
23	will meet again shortly, like tomorrow.
24	Goodbye.



25

MR. VICINANZO: Yeah. Thank you,

Domestic Violence Task Force - 1/18/22

1 Justice.

2 INV. BERNIER: Good night,

3 everybody.

4 (End of audio)



1	CERTIFICATION
2	
3	I, Cheryl Odom, certify that the
4	foregoing transcript is a true and
5	accurate record of the proceedings.
6	
7	
8	0000
9	Clean Octom
10	Cheryl Odom (CDLT-186)
11	TTA-Certified Digital Legal Transcriber
12	
13	eScribers
14	352 Seventh Avenue, Suite #604
15	New York, NY 10001
16	
17	Date: March 2, 2022
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



A ability 46:12 alilw 20:18 alve 20:18 34:23 allowed 6:21 ascess 14:20 22:15 29:25 36:21 37:5 46:13 alternative 23:20 asks 40:11 ascessible 14:4 44:13 30:3 alternative 42:9 Assistant 12:3 asceurate 53:5 act 6:11 7:16 42:12 45:17 ascurate 53:5 act 6:11 7:16 27:10 36:4 analysis 33:24 and/or 8:23 anded 33:17 add 31:10 adding 31:7 addition 13:22 addressed 32:3 addressed		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	rage 1
able 11:7 34:21 34:23 33:20,22 33:20,22 36:6 46:14 5ais 8:8 21:2 33:20 36:1 33:20	A			44:3	butt 49:16
able I1:17 34:21 38:8 38:8 Absolutely 48:5 access 14:20 22:15 29:25 45:13 accessible 14:4 45:13 accessible 14:4 46:21 accunate 51:5 45:13 accessible 14:4 413 30:3 38:19 43:14 accountability 19:12:17.25 accurate 53:5 act 6:11 7:16 27:10 36:4 39:22 23 and/or 8:23 acts 9:13 add 31:10 add 31:10 39:22 24dressed 24:7 addressed 24:7 addressed 24:7 addressed 24:7 addressed 52:3 addresses 24:7 addressed 52:3 advocates 14:7 dadressed 52:3 advocates 14:7 14:10 15:20 appellate 3:6 45:19 appropriate appropriate appropriate appropriate appropriate appropriate appropriate days 2:11 aggregate 20:5 agree 17:1 19:20 affairs 2:12 aggregate 20:5 agree 17:1 19:20 agg	ability 46:12		40:15		
Absolutely 48:5 access 14:20 22:15 29:25 45:13 accessible 14:4 14:13 30:3 38:19 43:14 accountability 19:12,17,25 act 6:11 7:16 16:12,16 17:25 20:21 26:16 27:10 36:4 39:25 act 9:13 add 31:10 adding 31:7 addissible 3:24 and/or 8:23 act 9:13 add 31:10 adding 31:7 addissible 3:3 addresses 24:7 addresses 24:1 adop 21:1 adop 21:1 adop 21:1					
Absolutely 48:20 access 14:20 allows 34:10 alternative 2:215 29:25 asks 40:11 asks 40:12 asks 40:14 aspects 48:16 basis 8:8 21:2 asks 40:14 aspects 48:16 assistance 2:4 40:14 batting 49:20 camel 47:20 area 15:14 batting 49:20 batting 49	38:8		· /		/
access 14:20 allows 34:10 alternative 23:20 aks 40:11 aspects 48:16 Assistance 2:4 do:14 do:14 Assistance 2:4 do:14 do:14 accountability 19:12,17,25 act 6:11 7:16 16:12,16 17:25 20:21 26:16 27:10 36:4 analysis 33:24 add 33:25 act 9:13 anecdotal 3:17 add 31:10 adding 31:7 addressed 32:3 addressed 32:1 adopt 27:16 advocates 13:21 1:46 30:13 asportation 15:22 annual 44:8 analysis 33:5 arg apprent 5:23 apple 11:19 advocates 14:7 age 6:3 appreciated agency 6:21 aggregate 20:5 agree 17:1 19:20 do:23 34:4 aggency 6:21 aggregate 20:5 agree 17:1 19:20 do:26:23 area 11:22 area 11:22 and aggregate 20:5 agree 17:1 19:20 do:26:23 area 11:22 area 11:22 and addressed 32:3 aggregate 20:5 agree 17:1 19:20 do:26:23 area 11:22 and addressed 32:3 aggregate 20:5 agree 17:1 19:20 do:26:23 area 11:22 and addressed 32:3 aggregate 20:5 agree 17:1 19:20 do:26:23 area 11:22 and addressed 32:3 aggregate 20:5 agree 17:1 19:20 do:26:23 area 11:22 and addressed 32:3 aggregate 20:5 agree 17:1 19:20 do:26:26 agree 17:1 19:20 do:26:27 agree 17:1 19:20 do:26:26 agree 17:	Absolutely 48:5				
Assistance 2:4 Becachamp 1:123 Becbee 1:20 Becbee 1:20 Becbee 1:20 Becbee 1:20 Beche 1:20	· ·				
Asistant 1:23 Association 2:7	22:15 29:25				
Accessible 14:4 14:13 30:3 alternatives Assistant 1:23 Associate 1:13 Associate 1:13 Associate 1:13 Beauchamp 1:18 becoming 11:23 Beech 1:20 CASA 2:18 case 5:21 7:8,19 becoming 11:23 Beech 1:20 CASA 2:18 case 5:21 7:8,19 becoming 11:23 Beech 1:20 CASA 2:18 case 5:21 7:8,19 becoming 11:23 becoming 11:23 becoming 11:23 Beech 1:20 CASA 2:18 case 5:21 7:8,19 becoming 11:23 becoming 1:23 becoming 11:23 decoming 11:23 decoming 11:23 decoming 1:23 decoming 11:23 decoming 11:23 decoming 1:23 decoming 11:23 decoming 1:23 decom	36:21 37:5				
Associate 1:13	45:13				
Association 2:7 Association 2:7 Beebe 1:20 Beeben	accessible 14:4			_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Amanda 2:11 2:14 assume 4:19 bees 47:15 case 5:21 case case 5:21 case case 5:21 case case 5:21 case ca	14:13 30:3			_	
19:12,17,25 accurate 53:5 amazed 49:2 amending 37:18 assume 4:19 5:14 assume 4:19 5:14 assume 4:19 bees 47:15 beginning 3:3 3:10,13,20 9:16 11:9,13 belief 35:8 belief 3	38:19 43:14				
accurate 53:5 arc 6:11 7:16 16:12,16 17:25 20:21 26:16 27:10 36:4 39:25 acts 9:13 add 31:10 addisin 13:22 addressed 32:3 announcing 46:25 46:25 38:13 16:12 38:13 15:12 52:2 Belknap 1:21 52:2 52:2 23:13,13,13 52:2 52:2 24 58elknap 1:21 52:2 52:2 23:12,15,16,18 Betsy 2:18 Betsey 2:18 Betsey 2:18 Betsey 2:18 Betsey 2:13:13 33:10 40:6,17 52:4 42:1 52:4 42:1 52:4 52:9 52:9 52:9 72:2 31:11 52:6 15:16 77:15,18 18:2 77:1,23 38:22 78:14,16:1 52:2 78:14-16:1 52:2 78:14-16:1 52:2 78:14-16:1 52:2 78:14-16:1 52:2 78:14-16:1 8etsy 2:18 8etsy 2:18 8etsy 2:18 8etsy 2:18 Betserier 1:21 52:2 42:13:13 11:10:17:17:11 52:2 52:2 29:7,22 31:11 52:4 62:13-12:5 52:2 29:7,22 31:11 52:4 62:13 51:10 17:17:11 52:4 52:2 52:2 52:13 32:2 52:18 6rica 16:10 77:10 16:18 77:3 26:17 77:3 26:17 77:3 26:17 77:3 26:17 77:3 26:17 77:3 26:17 77:3 26:17 77:3 26:17 77:3 26:17 77:3 26:17 77:3 26:17 77:3 26:17 77:3 26:17 77	accountability				
act 6:11 7:16 16:12,16 17:25 20:21 26:16 27:10 36:4 39:25 adds 13:10 add 31:10 3:21 20:4 Addition 13:22 addressed 32:3 addressed 32:3 addressed 32:3 addressed 32:3 addressed 32:4 Administrator 2:21 adopt 27:16 advocate 13:21 14:6 30:13 33:5 advocates 14:7 14:10 15:20 23:13 31:7 33:13 6:12 33:13 33:7 45:20 Affairs 2:12 afield 39:6 46:7 14:10 15:20 23:13 31:7 45:20 Affairs 2:12 afield 39:6 46:7 agencies 10:20 10:22 34:4 agencies 10:20 10:22 34:4 agencies 17:1 19:20 46:23 area 11:22 amendments 42:14 assure 4:5 attention 4:2 38:13 51:22 bench 36:10 37:1,2,15,16,18 38:13 51:22 37:1,2,15,16,18 37:1,2,15,16,18 37:1,2,15,16,18 37:1,2,15,16,18 37:1,2,15,16,18 37:1,2,15,16,18 37:1,2,15,16,18 37:1,2,15,16,18 37:1,2,15,16,18 37:1,2,15,16,18 37:1,2,15,16,18 37:1,2,15,16,18 37:1,2,1,5,16,18 37:1,2,1,5,16,18 37:1,2,1,5,16,18 37:1,2,1,5,16,18 37:1,2,1,5,16,18 37:1,2,1,5,16,18 37:1,2,1,5,16,18 37:1,2,1,5,16,18 37:1,2,1,5,2,16,18 37:1,2,1,5,16,1	19:12,17,25				· ·
16:12,16 17:25 20:21 26:16 27:10 36:4 39:25 and/or 8:23 21:2,15,16,18 39:25 addition 13:22 addressed 32:3 Anna 1:12 Anna 1:12 addesses 24:7 addressing 5:4 Administrator 2:21 adopt 27:16 advocate 13:21 14:6 30:13 33:5 apparent 5:23 applying 9:12 33:10 51:22 aggregate 20:5 aggreeqate 20:5	accurate 53:5				1 1
20:21 26:16	act 6:11 7:16				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
27:10 36:4 39:25 and/or 8:23 anecdotal 3:17 3:21 20:4 Ann 1:25 addition 13:22 addressed 32:3 addresses 24:7 addressing 5:4 Administrator 2:21 adopt 27:16 adovocate 13:21 14:6 30:13 33:5 advocates 14:7 14:10 15:20 23:13 31:7 age 6:3 agencies 10:20 10:22 34:4 agencies 10:20 10:22 34:4 agency 6:21 aggregate 20:5 aggree 17:1 19:20 46:23 appropriate aggregate 20:5 aggree 17:1 19:20 46:23 appropriate aggregate 20:5 aggree 17:1 19:20 46:23 are at 1:22 and/or 8:23 analysis 33:24 attorney 1:23 bench 36:10 37:1,23 38:22 27:8,14,21 28:13,25 29:24 best 9:2 13:13 better 16:25 big 39:2 41:25 distlority 50:9 distlority 50:9 available 8:24 distlority 50:9 boat 42:18 body 12:2 39:21 44:9 distlority 20:9 38:5 distlority 10:10 16:18 distlority 50:9 distlority 50:9 available 8:24 distlority 50:9 distlority 10:10 16:18 distlority 10:10 16:	16:12,16 17:25				
39:25 and/or 8:23 anecdotal 3:17 3:21 20:4 Ann 1:25 addressed 32:3 addresse	20:21 26:16			-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
acts 9:13 anecdotal 3:17	27:10 36:4				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
add 31:10 adding 31:7 addition 13:22 addressed 32:3 addresses 24:7 addressing 5:4 Administrator 2:21 adopt 27:16 advocate 13:21 14:6 30:13 33:5 advocates 14:7 14:10 15:20 22:313 31:7 33:1 36:12 33:13 6:12 33:13 6:12 33:13 6:12 33:13 6:12 33:13 6:12 33:13 6:12 33:13 6:12 33:13 6:12 33:13 6:12 33:13 6:12 33:13 6:12 33:13 6:12 33:10 40:6,17 33:13 6:12 33:15 45:20 Affairs 2:12 afield 39:6 46:7 agencies 10:20 10:22 34:4 agency 6:21 aggregate 20:5 agree 17:1 19:20 46:23 46:23 33:10 40:6,17 38:10 46:1 38:10 46:1 38:10 46:1 39:16 49:10 39:1	39:25		1 1 1 1 1	· ·	
adding 31:7 addition 13:22 addressed 32:3 addresses 24:7 addressing 5:4 Administrator 2:21 adopt 27:16 advocate 13:21 14:6 30:13 33:5 advocates 14:7 14:10 15:20 23:13 31:7 33:1 36:12 37:3 43:17 33:1 36:12 37:3 43:17 33:1 36:12 37:3 43:17 37:3	acts 9:13				, , ,
addition 13:22 addressed 32:3 addresses 24:7 addressing 5:4 Anna 1:12 3:14 36:12 37:2 38:10 46:1 audio 3:22 4:25 42:1 42:1 45:9 49:21 40:20 42:1 45:9 49:21 audio 3:22 4:25 annual 44:8 answer 42:11 anticipate 5:8 anybody 28:8 apparent 5:23 apparent 5:23 apparent 5:23 apparent 5:23 applicable 9:18 application 9:23 application 9:23 application 9:23 application 9:23 application 9:23 appreciate 4:4 agency 6:21 aggregate 20:5 agree 17:1 19:20 46:23 Anna 1:12 Anne 2:25 4:4 36:12 37:2 bit 10:17 17:11 20:9 38:5 42:1 bit 10:17 17:11 20:	add 31:10		•	_	· ·
addressed 32:3 Anne 2:25 4:4 36:12 37:2 better 16:25 33:10 40:6,17 addresses 24:7 administrator 36:12 37:2 better 16:25 33:10 40:6,17 addressing 5:4 Anne 2:25 4:4 36:12 37:2 big 39:2 41:25 40:20 42:1 administrator 46:25 39:16 49:10 bit 10:17 17:11 caselaw 12:3 adopt 27:16 answer 42:11 anticipate 5:8 anybody 28:8 anybody 28:8 anybody 28:8 anybody 28:8 40:20 42:1 advocates 14:7 46:6 30:13 apperent 5:23 appellate 3:6 Avenue 53:14 bott 42:18 bott 42:18 36:1 38:12 33:22 34:13,13 36:1 38:12 36:1 38:	adding 31:7				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
addresses 24:7 addressing 5:4 Administrator 2:21 adopt 27:16 advocate 13:21 14:6 30:13 33:5 advocates 14:7 14:10 15:20 23:13 31:7 33:1 36:12 37:3 43:17 45:20 Affairs 2:12 afield 39:6 46:7 age 6:3 agencies 10:20 10:22 34:4 agency 6:21 aggregate 20:5 agree 17:1 19:20 46:23 13:14 38:10 46:1 audio 3:22 4:25 39:16 49:10 52:4 audio 3:22 4:25 39:16 49:10 bit 10:17 17:11 20:9 38:5 42:1 bit 10:17 17:11 20:9 38:5 42:1 bit 10:17 17:11 20:9 38:5 49:21 bit 10:17 17:11 20:9 38:5 49:21 bit 10:17 17:11 caselaw 12:3 cases 8:15,17 9 10:10 16:18 17:3 26:17 10:10	addition 13:22				
addresses 24:7 addressing 5:4 Administrator 2:21 adopt 27:16 advocate 13:21 14:6 30:13 33:5 advocates 14:7 14:10 15:20 23:13 31:7 33:1 36:12 37:3 43:17 45:20 Affairs 2:12 afield 39:6 46:7 age 6:3 agencies 10:20 10:22 34:4 aggregate 20:5 aggreg 17:1 19:20 46:23 announcing 46:25 39:16 49:10 52:4 20:9 38:5 20:9 38:5 20:9 38:5 blather 27:13 blurb 32:8 45:9 10:10 16:18 17:3 26:17 31:14 32:10 33:22 34:21 box available 8:24 aphorator 5:23 appellate 3:6 5:16,24 application 9:23 apply 11:19 applicated 4:4 13:10 51:22 appreciated 13:11 appreciate 4:4 aggregate 20:5 aggregate 20:5 aggregate 17:1 19:20 46:23 Affairs 2:12 aggregate 20:5 aggregate 20:5 aggregate 17:1 19:20 46:23 Affairs 2:12 aggregate 20:5 aggreg	addressed 32:3				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Administrator 2:21 adopt 27:16 advocate 13:21 14:6 30:13 33:5 advocates 14:7 14:10 15:20 23:13 31:7 33:1 36:12 37:3 43:17 45:20 Affairs 2:12 afield 39:6 46:7 age 6:3 agencies 10:20 10:22 34:4 agency 6:21 aggregate 20:5 agree 17:1 19:20 46:23 Administrator 2:21 annual 44:8 52:4 annual 44:8 52:4 authority 50:9 available 8:24 10:8 12:9 25:3 blather 27:13 blurb 32:8 45:9 boat 42:18 body 12:2 blurb 32:8 45:9 boat 42:18 body 12:2 bottom 27:14 brain 24:5 break 35:18 briefs 45:25 CBPO 49:13,23 caselaw 12:3 caselaw 12:3 caselaw 12:3 caselaw 12:3 caselaw 12:3 casel w 12:3 case 8:15,17 9 10:10 16:18 17:3 26:17 31:14 32:10 39:16 49:10 bit 10:17 17:11 caselaw 12:3 case 8:15,17 9 10:10 16:18 17:3 26:17 31:14 32:10 36:5 boat 42:18 body 12:2 bottom 27:14 brain 24:5 break 35:18 briefs 45:25 CBPO 49:13,23 cases 8:15,17 9 10:10 16:18 17:3 26:17 31:14 32:10 36:5 boat 42:18 body 12:2 bottom 27:14 brain 24:5 break 35:18 briefs 45:25 CBPO 49:13,23 cases 8:15,17 9 10:10 16:18 17:3 26:17 31:14 32:10 36:5 boat 42:18 body 12:2 bottom 27:14 brain 24:5 break 35:18 briefs 45:25 CBPO 49:13,23 cases 8:15,17 9 10:10 16:18 17:3 26:17 31:14 32:10 36:5 boat 42:18 body 12:2 bottom 27:14 brain 24:5 break 35:18 briefs 45:25 CBPO 49:13,23 cases 8:15,17 9 10:10 16:18 17:3 26:17 31:14 32:10 36:5 boat 42:18 body 12:2 brain 24:5 break 35:18 briefs 45:25 CBPO 49:13,23 cases 8:15,17 9 10:10 16:18 17:3 26:17 31:14 32:10 36:5 break 35:18 briefs 45:25 CBPO 49:13,23 cases 8:15,17 9 10:10 16:18 17:3 26:17 31:14 32:10 36:5 break 35:18 briefs 45:25 CBPO 49:13,23 cases 8:15,17 9 10:10 16:18 17:3 26:17 31:14 32:10 36:5 break 35:18 briefs 45:25 CBPO 49:13,23 cases 8:15,17 9 10:10 16:18 17:3 26:17 31:14 32:10 36:5 break 35:18 briefs 45:25 CBPO 49:13,23 casel avita 3:1 18:14 32:10 10:10 16:18 17:3 26:17 31:14 32:10 10:10 16:18 17:3 26:17 31:14 32:10 10:10 16:18 17:3 26:17 10:10 16:18 17:3 26:17 10:10 16:18 17:3 26:17 10:10 16:18 17:3 26:17 11:4:25 37:17 briefs 45:25 CBPO 49:13,23 10:14:25 11:14:25 37:17	addresses 24:7				
Administrator annual 44:8 annual 44:8 authority 50:9 authority 50:9 49:21 10:10 16:18 14:6 30:13 anybody 28:8 anybody 28:8 10:8 12:9 25:3 blather 27:13 31:14 32:10 33:5 apperent 5:23 apperent 5:23 apperent 5:23 apperent 5:23 blather 27:13 31:14 32:10 23:13 31:7 4:10 15:20 appellate 3:6 Avenue 53:14 boat 42:18 36:1 38:12 37:3 43:17 application 9:23 application 9:23 apply 11:19 back 3:11 11:25 brain 24:5 CBPO 49:13,22 45:20 applying 9:12 12:15 14:25 37:17 bringing 4:1,1 18:24 39:8 50:9 CBPOs 50:7 CDLT-186 agencies 10:20 10:22 34:4 13:11 ball 50:8,15 51:2 ball 11:24 bringing 4:24 45:11 center 44:24 45:11 ageregate 20:5 appropriate 44:18 bandwidth 44:1 brown 51:9 brown 51:9 center 19:23 19:8 27:11 46:23 area 11:22 bar 30:12,17 45:21 19:8 27:11	addressing 5:4				
adopt 27:16 answer 42:11 authority 50:9 49:21 10:10 16:18 advocate 13:21 answer 42:11 authority 50:9 49:21 10:10 16:18 14:6 30:13 anybody 28:8 anybody 28:8 available 8:24 Black 2:16 17:3 26:17 33:5 apparent 5:23 apparent 5:23 apparent 5:23 apparent 5:23 bluth 32:8 45:9 33:22 34:13,1 49:21 31:14 32:10 31:14 32:10 31:14 32:10 49:21 31:14 32:10 31:14 32:10 49:21 31:14 32:10 31:14 32:10 40:20 33:22 34:13,1 36:5 49:21 36:14 36:13 33:22 34:13,1 45:20 applicable 9:18 application 9:23 B 40:9 briefs 45:25 CBPO 49:13,22 46:20 51:19 back 3:11 11:25 bad 29:1 bringing 4:1,1 18:24 39:8 53:10 CDLT-186 49:21 49:21 46:2 50:9 CBPOs 50:7 CDLT-186 53:10 53:10 53:10 53:10 53:10 53:10 53:10 <th< td=""><td>Administrator</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>	Administrator				
adopt 27:16 advocate 13:21 14:6 30:13 33:5 advocates 14:7 14:10 15:20 23:13 31:7 33:1 36:12 37:3 43:17 45:20 Affairs 2:12 afield 39:6 46:7 age 6:3 agencies 10:20 10:22 34:4 agency 6:21 aggregate 20:5 agree 17:1 19:20 46:23 anisite 42:11 anticipate 5:8 anybody 28:8 apparent 5:23 appeal 8:2 appellate 3:6 5:16,24 application 9:23 apply 11:19 applying 9:12 12:15 appreciate 4:4 agency 6:21 aggregate 20:5 agree 17:1 19:20 46:23 anisite 4:42:18 anybody 28:8 10:8 12:9 25:3 blather 27:13 blurb 32:8 45:9 boat 42:18 body 12:2 bottom 27:14 brain 24:5 break 35:18 briefs 45:25 CBPO 49:13,22 bringing 4:1,1 18:24 39:8 brings 46:24 Broderick 15:1 broken 51:9 brought 38:13 45:21 17:3 26:17 31:14 32:10 33:22 34:13,1 36:1 38:12 39:21 44:9 46:20 casting 51:10 cat's 21:25 bringing 4:1,1 18:24 39:8 brings 46:24 Broderick 15:1 broken 51:9 brought 38:13 45:21 19:8 27:11	2:21				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
advocate 13:21 14:6 30:13 anybody 28:8 10:8 12:9 25:3 31:14 32:10 33:5 apparent 5:23 26:13,20 29:11 36:5 33:22 34:13,1 14:10 15:20 appellate 3:6 5:16,24 bottom 27:14 36:1 38:12 33:1 36:12 applicable 9:18 application 9:23 bottom 27:14 46:20 37:3 43:17 application 9:23 apply 11:19 back 3:11 11:25 briefs 45:25 CBPO 49:13,22 46:20 13:10 51:22 bail 50:8,15 51:2 bringing 4:1,1 CDLT-186 53:10 53:10 53:10 center 44:24 46:23 44:18 bandwidth 44:1 browen 51:9 brought 38:13 46:23 44:18 bandwidth 44:1 bandwidth 44:1 bandwidth 44:1 bandwidth 44:1 46:23 45:21 19:8 27:11	adopt 27:16				
33:5 apparent 5:23 26:13,20 29:11 33:22 34:13,1 33:5 apparent 5:23 36:5 blurb 32:8 45:9 36:1 38:12 33:1 36:12 3pplicable 9:18 3pplicable 9:18 3pplication 9:23 3pply 11:19 3pply 11:19 3pply 19:12 3pp	advocate 13:21				
advocates 14:7 appeal 8:2 36:5 boat 42:18 36:1 38:12 23:13 31:7 36:5 40 y 12:2 39:21 44:9 33:1 36:12 36:5 40 y 12:2 39:21 44:9 33:1 36:12 36:5 40 y 12:2 39:21 44:9 33:1 36:12 39:21 44:9 46:20 46:20 33:1 36:12 39:21 44:9 46:20 46:20 33:1 36:12 39:21 44:9 46:20 46:20 33:1 36:12 39:21 44:9 46:20 46:20 46:20 33:1 36:12 39:21 44:9 46:20 <td>14:6 30:13</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	14:6 30:13				
advocates 14:7 14:10 15:20 appellate 3:6 5:16,24 aware 27:19 39:21 44:9 33:1 36:12 applicable 9:18 application 9:23 body 12:2 46:20 37:3 43:17 application 9:23 apply 11:19 briefs 45:25 cat's 21:25 Affairs 2:12 applying 9:12 back 3:11 11:25 briefs 45:25 CBPO 49:13,22 age 6:3 13:10 51:22 bad 29:1 bringing 4:1,1 CDLT-186 agency 6:21 13:11 ball 50:8,15 51:2 brings 46:24 center 44:24 agere 17:1 19:20 44:18 bandwidth 44:1 broken 51:9 central 48:13 46:23 area 11:22 bar 30:12,17 45:21 19:8 27:11	33:5	* *	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1
14:10 13:20 23:13 31:7 33:1 36:12 applicable 9:18 application 9:23 apply 11:19 applying 9:12 12:15 appreciate 4:4 agency 6:21 aggregate 20:5 agree 17:1 19:20 46:23 46:23 46:21 area 11:22 area 11:23 area 11:24 area 11:25 area	advocates 14:7	1 1			
33:1 36:12 applicable 9:18 application 9:23 apply 11:19 applying 9:12 12:15 appreciate 4:4 agency 6:21 aggregate 20:5 agree 17:1 19:20 46:23 appropriate 44:18 area 11:22 asilo 51:20 appropriate 46:23 appropriate 46:23 appropriate 46:23 appropriate 46:21 appropriate 46:23 appropriate 46:21 appropriate 46:23 appropriate 46:21 appropriate 46:23 appropriate 46:23 appropriate 46:24 appropriate 46:25 appropriate 46:26 appropriate 46:27 appropriate 46:28 approp	14:10 15:20	1 1		•	
B B B B B B B B B B	23:13 31:7		aware 27:19		
Affairs 2:12	33:1 36:12		R		
Affairs 2:12 afield 39:6 46:7 age 6:3 agencies 10:20 10:22 34:4 agency 6:21 aggregate 20:5 agree 17:1 19:20 46:23 applying 9:12 12:15 back 3:11 11:25 14:25 37:17 bad 29:1 bag 21:25 bail 50:8,15 51:2 ball 11:24 ball 11:24 bandwidth 44:1 bandwidth 44:1 bar 30:12,17 back 3:11 11:25 14:25 37:17 brilliant 41:7 CDLT-186 53:10 center 44:24 45:11 broken 51:9 brought 38:13 19:8 27:11	37:3 43:17				
Affairs 2:12 afield 39:6 46:7 appreciate 4:4 12:15 appreciate 4:4 bad 29:1 bringing 4:1,1 CDLT-186 agencies 10:20 10:22 34:4 appreciated 13:11 bail 50:8,15 51:2 brings 46:24 Broderick 15:1 Broderick 15:1 broken 51:9 brought 38:13 central 48:13 agree 17:1 19:20 46:23 area 11:22 bar 30:12,17 45:21 19:8 27:11					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
age 6:3 appreciate 4:4 bad 29:1 bringing 4:1,1 CDLT-186 agencies 10:20 10:22 34:4 13:10 51:22 bail 50:8,15 51:2 brings 46:24 53:10 agency 6:21 aggregate 20:5 ball 11:24 broken 51:9 central 48:13 agree 17:1 19:20 44:18 bandwidth 44:1 brought 38:13 certain 17:23 bandwidth 44:1 bandwidth 44:1 brought 38:13 19:8 27:11	Affairs 2:12				
age 6:3 agencies 10:20 13:10 51:22 bag 21:25 bail 50:8,15 51:2 brings 46:24 53:10 agency 6:21 agency 6:21 appropriate ball 11:24 broken 51:9 45:11 agree 17:1 19:20 46:23 bara 30:12,17 brought 38:13 certain 17:23 46:23 45:21 19:8 27:11		=			
agencies 10.20	_	* *		0 0 .	
agency 6:21 agency 6:21 balancing 26:16 Broderick 15:1 45:11 agree 17:1 19:20 44:18 ball 11:24 broken 51:9 certain 17:23 bar 30:12,17 45:21 19:8 27:11					
agency 6:21			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
aggregate 20:5 appropriate 44:18 bandwidth 44:1 brought 38:13 certain 17:23 46:23 bar 30:12,17 45:21 19:8 27:11					
agree 17:1 19:20 46:23 bar 30:12,17 45:21 19:8 27:11	00 0				
40:25				_	
$\mathbf{I} = \mathbf{I} \cdot $			38:23 43:11,25	-	certainly 8:1
ahead 29:16,17 argued 40:6,7 38:23 43:11,25 business 34:1 certainly 8:1	ahead 29:16,17	argued 40:6,7	30.43 43.11,43	Dusiness 34:1	certainly 0.1
			l	l	1

				Page 2
10.22.11.1	7.5.12.10	Ι ,	1 27 25	1. 4. 45 10
10:23 11:1	come 7:5 13:19	conundrum	created 37:25	direction 45:10
12:24 14:10	13:20 17:10	10:23	50:7	Director 1:18,20
17:1,22 22:23	22:24 29:3	conversation 4:3	creating 43:16	2:3,5,9,11,24
23:9 26:4 38:6	35:5 38:16	11:21 13:23	crime 49:14	disclosure 41:2
certify 53:3	41:7 46:12	46:16	Criminal 2:14	discuss 45:19
challenge 25:23	comes 28:15	convicted 49:13	crisis 44:23	50:12
26:17	34:2,3 41:23	copies 10:11	45:11	discussed 49:10
challenging	coming 7:7 21:1	27:25	critical 43:1	discussion 14:18
14:13	33:25 49:8	copy 8:1	criticism 3:16	26:3 42:22
change 20:13	comments 4:21	correct 41:14	curious 17:20	46:24
29:2 49:19	5:12	corrected 40:4	current 38:21	discussions 3:24
50:19	committee 43:7	corrections 51:2	38:23	disseminate
changing 14:22	47:20	counsel 7:25	D	7:17
characteristics	communities	9:21 33:4		disseminated
28:22	18:3	36:19	danger 43:3	33:17
charge 6:2 11:11	community 25:9	counter 33:12	date 33:7,18	distinction 9:4
chat 51:8	25:12 26:7	County 1:22,23	53:17	9:15 12:10
check 8:7	28:23	1:24,25	David 2:7,15	district 17:18
Cheryl 53:3,10	completely	couple 42:5	day 11:25 48:22	diverse 42:24
Chief 15:1	13:19	course 13:18	days 37:14,16,21	division 17:18
Chiefs 2:8	compliant 36:3	38:16 40:14	dealing 38:25	divulged 22:9
circle 3:11	complicate 20:8	court 1:13,14,15	dealt 15:25	docket 32:2
circuit 1:14,16	complicated	1:16,17 2:20	decided 9:1	document 43:13
1:17 2:20	13:17	3:7,15 4:12,16	deciding 35:16	Dodge 2:19
17:14,17 19:22	concept 9:7	5:17 6:8 7:20	decision 8:13	29:12,15,17
28:9	44:12 45:6	7:24 8:7,9 9:1	11:14 19:5	31:9,18,22,25
circuits 25:19	concepts 12:16	10:9,11,15	35:20	32:5,9 44:13
circulate 22:20	concern 36:17	11:15,16 12:6	decisions 3:6,8	45:7
circulated 7:24	38:25	12:13 13:19	5:17,24 6:24	doing 3:21 13:12
10:16 13:12	concerns 36:14	15:21 16:18	16:24 38:15	16:15 20:7
36:9,24 37:1	confidential	19:22 21:20	45:14	23:19 33:24
37:22 47:11	27:20 29:7,8,9	23:3,14 25:10	defendant 17:6	34:7 41:11
circumstances	40:17,20 46:19	26:15 27:24	28:12	47:16 49:24
22:2	confluence	28:7,7,15,19	Defense 2:14	DOJ 2:4
cited 9:10	39:14	29:4 32:19	designed 20:21	domestic 1:6
clear 40:18	considered	34:2,12,16	detail 25:13	2:22 3:5 5:15
clearinghouse	21:14 33:14	39:19,23 42:1	developing	17:3,4 39:21
44:17	consistent 35:11	43:23 45:14	15:11	40:5
clearly 42:19	constant 32:24	46:5,14 48:13	Diane 1:15	DOVE 2:15,19
clerk 1:17 2:25	constitute 33:19	court's 10:3	dictate 37:14	16:1
26:2	continuation	44:15 45:4,8	difference 38:5	drawn 15:16
close 32:18 39:9	49:12	courtroom	different 23:7	33:22
Coalition 2:10	continue 21:10	40:18	28:21 29:23	driving 33:13
2:12	26:4 42:21	courts 13:25	37:25	Dropbox 49:1
Coalition's	continues 49:23	28:9 32:3	difficult 36:22	DV 2:24 10:9
44:25 45:12	continuing	cover 48:2	digging 7:14	27:25 29:4
collaborative	49:21	covered 48:2	12:5 45:23	dynamic 29:2
14:15	contract 12:16	craft 9:2	Digital 53:11	
collecting 47:3	37:18	create 47:19	direct 5:11	E
17.5	[2,			
	I	I	I	I

				raye 3
e- 6:5	ove et 10.19	five 15.2 /	Garland 41:23	42:24 45:17
E 53:1	exact 10:18 16:21 17:24	five 15:3,4 fix 29:1		
	exactly 31:22		gee 25:19,23	47:1,3,9,25 Gudas 26:2
e-Filing 48:18 earlier 3:20	48:12	flags 15:5 flesh 47:10	generation 50:4 getting 34:17	
	_		48:24 49:1	guess 16:6,11 18:10,14 29:21
early 22:1 ears 4:9	example 9:20 18:2 22:23	fleshing 47:6	51:18	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		flip 28:8		guidance 9:11 12:17,25 13:7
easily 46:4 easy 25:20 28:6	24:5,10	flipped 19:3 focus 3:4 34:21	give 33:6 49:5 given 6:9	14:2
	exchange 3:13 Executive 1:20	focused 51:18	glad 11:5	guide 5:11 11:17
educating 33:21 effective 50:2	2:9	folks 15:5,6	glau 11.3 glaring 9:20	15:22 34:24
51:16	existed 9:15	47:12 48:3	go 5:12 6:20	guidebooks
effort 5:12 20:25	30:7	51:3	9:16 15:23	14:16
30:8	expect 8:15	follow 35:6 46:9	23:1,14 26:11	guided 15:12
either 34:17	expect 8.13 exposure 23:22	follow- 29:19	28:1 29:16,17	34:7
electronic 6:3	exposure 23.22 extent 49:3	following 19:15	30:8 31:15	34.7
electronic 6:3 element 9:6	extent 49:3 eyes 26:10	Forbes 39:6	39:5 44:19,23	Н
elicit 34:8	Cycs 20.10	force 1:6 3:3 4:5	46:5 48:25	Hampshire 1:13
elongated 4:3	$\overline{\mathbf{F}}$	4:14,19,25	goal 4:12,13	2:10,12,13 7:4
email 3:19 38:8	F 53:1	5:22 7:6 13:3	God 51:14	20:11 28:23
emanate 15:10	fabulous 34:9	15:10 51:4	goes 15:2 43:13	43:25 44:24
emanated 17:19	faced 16:21	foregoing 53:4	going 5:9 18:8	Hampton 2:5,7
emanating 13:2	facilitate 16:4	form 48:15	19:3 20:24	handle 44:1
emergency 50:3	fact 15:17 17:17	former 15:1	25:15 32:23	handout 14:17
Endres 1:23	28:10	37:12	33:4 36:21,24	33:16
16:5,5 18:22	fact-driven 9:18	forms 14:18	36:25 38:23	Hantz 1:12 3:1
39:17	factors 33:13	forward 5:10	42:20 43:23	9:3 10:24,25
ends 47:20	39:15	43:6 51:1	44:16,19 45:4	11:4,20 13:8
enforcement	facts 9:23	fourth 3:3	46:9 47:7,16	14:23 17:9
24:11 49:15	fall 16:22	frankly 3:6	48:2 51:1,4,14	21:19 22:13,18
entities 4:17	far 38:2 39:5	11:21	51:15,17,18	22:22 23:6,17
entity 33:25	46:7	Freeman 2:20	good 3:22 7:19	24:10,13,15,22
equally 8:24	federal 49:17	27:19,23 28:3	24:15 28:16	25:1,24 26:21
Erin 2:24	federalism 42:1	43:10 45:21	35:20 37:13	27:1,4,7,22
eScribers 53:13	feedback 5:13	front 18:25 19:4	41:17,25 42:10	28:2,24 30:5
esoteric 17:12	feel 34:24 43:8	39:22	43:5 48:6,8	30:16 31:5,8
especially 43:15	felt 14:8	front-line 31:7	49:23,25 50:21	32:12 33:15
establish 9:6	fifty 16:20	fruit 6:15	50:23 51:8,19	35:21 37:6
evaporating	figure 10:17	functional 49:24	52:2	39:1 40:2
47:4	20:19 25:21,25	fundamentals	Goodbye 51:24	41:17,22 43:9
event 35:10	45:24 46:6	14:11	government	44:6 45:5 46:3
everybody 30:19	figured 7:12	funders 20:13	6:21 10:22	46:17 48:6,8
40:4 52:3	figuring 17:7	further 7:14	governor 24:20	50:22 51:7
everybody's	filed 21:15 22:3	future 5:12 43:3	Grady 2:11	happen 45:1
36:14	filing 6:6 21:12	48:17	Grafton 1:25	happened 37:19
everything's	fill 34:10		granted 22:4	happens 24:3
29:9	find 7:11 12:4,6	G	great 13:15 40:1	35:9,10
evidence 32:6	31:15 34:14	gap 14:9 32:14	43:9	happy 7:1
evolution 12:8	39:2 46:13	32:18 33:11	group 2:17	hard 8:3 34:22
evolving 6:4	finding 49:22	gaps 4:14 33:9	30:25 32:23	harder 17:7
L				

				raye 4
42:9	41:1	initials 8:19 17:2	issuance 32:20	11:4,20 13:8
harm 35:17	identities 18:20	18:9 28:5	issue 3:4 5:20	14:23 15:1
Haven 1:20	36:15	initiative 15:3	7:2 10:5 13:1	17:9 19:18
head 26:2	identity 25:6	injury 35:9	13:17 16:22	21:19 22:13,18
health 15:2,5,7	immediate	input 5:13 31:3	17:21 20:16	22:22 23:6,17
hear 4:22 7:1	49:14	instance 48:15	24:7 27:19	24:10,13,15,22
36:14	immigration	instructed 40:10	30:23 31:21	25:1,24 26:21
heard 4:7,8 7:10	28:21	40:10	47:22 49:25	27:1,4,7,22
34:24	impact 13:24	interacting 15:6	issued 8:5,9	28:2,24 30:5
hearing 3:16 7:6	14:18	interaction	11:15 21:16,22	30:16 31:5,8
21:8,24 34:21	important 18:17	39:12	21:23 22:5	32:12 33:15
Held 1:8	important 18.17	interested 3:16	28:25	35:21 37:6
help 8:13 16:1	16:3	26:3	issues 3:18 4:6	39:1 40:2
35:2,19	inadvertent 41:3	interesting	5:4,7 15:6,7	41:17,22 43:9
· ·				· · ·
helpful 37:2 38:20	including 31:6 increase 44:2	10:23 14:24 25:2 40:3	35:4 46:11 it'll 14:17	44:6 45:5 46:3
		44:11 45:5	It II 14:1 / 	46:17 48:6,8 50:22 51:7
high 30:12,17	indicate 3:14 indicated 9:25		J	50:22 51:7
highlights 15:14		interestingly	$\frac{3}{\text{J.M } 7:8}$	32.1
history 35:15 Hobbs 2:7	indiscernible 34:20	16:6 interference	January 1:7	<u>K</u>
Hollis 37:13	individuals 41:1	3:22 4:25	Jasina 2:24	Kathy 1:20
home 18:2	Industrial 39:8	39:16 49:10	Jean 2:22	keep 13:13
homework 5:15		internal 5:21	job 13:15	21:11 32:25
44:8	inevitably 45:20 46:12	36:7	John 1:16	33:2,7 49:7
	influence 11:13	internet 6:25	Jon 2:13 35:22	50:21
Hon 1:12,14,15 1:16	influential 11:9	7:19 10:16	judge 1:14,15,16	keeping 18:13
hope 4:18 50:12	inform 3:23	12:1 16:10	17:16 18:12,17	18:20 33:18
Hornick 1:25	43:17	22:11,14 23:4	18:23,25 19:3	38:21
25:15	information	25:4 26:12	19:7,10,14,15	kept 48:19
horse 47:19	3:17,23 6:14	30:10 37:10	19:21,23 20:7	key 24:6
hours 42:22	6:22 7:18 8:23	38:7 39:10,19	21:4,21,22	Kilham 2:22
11041 \$ 42.22	11:2 12:9	43:22	22:17,21 23:5	kind 13:22 24:2
I	13:14 14:3,9	interpret 16:19	23:16 31:16,19	34:19 49:2
i.e 12:11	16:25 17:13	interpretation	31:23 32:1,6	kinds 20:13
idea 28:14 34:9	18:9,11 20:4,6	12:17,23 32:7	32:11 34:6	kiosk 22:24 23:1
42:12 45:15	20:14 21:6,9	interview 15:12	35:14 37:8	23:14 29:5
49:21	22:9,16 23:25	interviews 34:8	38:3,6 40:1	36:21
ideas 47:13	24:3,4 28:10	intimate 39:11	49:9 50:6,10	kiosks 22:24
identify 4:14,20	28:11,18 33:3	intranet 22:19	50:17,20 51:6	knew 49:18
17:11,14,15,17	34:8,11 36:13	INV 52:2	judges 5:23	know 4:3 5:5 8:4
20:14,22 24:9	36:22 37:5	invested 47:12	19:12,23 22:16	8:8,10,11,14
25:13 26:8	38:1,9,18	Investigator	27:2 29:25	8:16 11:10
28:6 41:8	39:12,18 40:8	1:21	33:8 35:20	14:14,17 15:24
46:13	40:23,24 41:6	involve 43:21	38:8	16:17 18:14,15
identifying 6:14	43:2,21 44:17	involved 7:25	July 8:12	19:4,14 26:24
6:22 7:17 8:23	44:20 45:3,13	42:13 50:25	jurisdiction	27:17,17 30:7
11:2 17:13	45:13 49:2,3	51:5,11	50:16	31:14 32:2
18:2,4 24:2,18	informed 34:11	involvement 5:8	justice 1:13 3:1	35:6 37:7,9,21
28:18 40:8,11	initial 21:12	IPV 32:16	9:3 10:24,25	38:3 41:24
ĺ		22 , 32.10		
	I	I	I	I

				Page 5
42 10 44 11	1.44 27.14	l	142 16	4 11 7 22
43:19 44:11	letter 37:14	looked 10:9	material 43:16	naturally 7:23
45:22 46:15	level 37:25	looking 10:7,19	materials 32:25	nature 16:13
48:24	life 50:15	13:4 18:16	33:16 34:1,5	necessarily 24:8
knowing 18:23	limit 40:18	23:22 25:16	matter 31:20	43:12
29:21	limited 36:20	27:3 35:14	mean 9:8 10:14	necessary 21:6
knowingly 41:5	limits 4:11	36:20 48:3	18:14 22:19	need 12:13 31:1
knowledgeable	link 18:4 46:18	looks 36:8	23:17 25:24	31:2 32:23
14:7	Lisa 13:14	lot 5:8 9:24 12:5	36:6 42:2 44:1	33:6 43:2
knows 42:4	list 31:11 32:10	13:13 28:21	45:7,25	46:10 51:4
Kristyn 1:21	50:21	30:15 31:1,2	meaningfully	needs 4:20 14:11
3:25	listening 35:7	34:2,14 39:20	28:18	15:23 41:12
Krueger 2:2 7:3	listservs 38:8	lots 15:25 49:1	means 38:21	48:19
7:3 10:24 11:4	litigant 12:18	51:10	meet 51:23	net 51:10
19:9,20 22:10	litigants 8:15	love 4:22 14:1	meeting 1:6 3:2	never 51:14
22:14 26:18,22	9:21 12:25	16:23	3:12 25:17	new 1:13 2:9,12
27:2,5 45:15	30:4 36:15	low-hanging	26:2 49:11	2:13 7:4 9:6
46:4 48:5,7	litigates 35:25	6:15	member 27:23	12:11,21,23
	little 6:1 10:17	Lyn 2:9 13:9	members 15:21	20:11 28:23
L	20:8 31:17	29:13,17 36:18	33:23 36:18	43:25 44:24
L.C 8:20	32:8 34:12,18	Lynda 2:3	37:3	53:15
L.S 7:9	46:7 49:20		memos 36:8	News 43:11 44:3
LaFrance 2:16	live 37:24	M	mens 41:3	NH 1:20 2:4,7
18:7,8 23:23	livestream 40:19	mail 37:15	mental 15:2,5,7	2:18
24:12,14,17,24	livestreamed	making 11:14	mention 3:12	NHBA 2:19
40:21 41:19	39:23 40:16	12:22 34:22	mentioned 26:6	NHJB 2:22
43:24	livestreams	36:15	30:17	NHLA 2:2,24
language 16:15	39:19	Manager 2:22	Merrick 41:23	45:19 47:14,23
32:19 35:12	local 25:9,12	March 53:17	Merrill 1:18	NHSC 2:25
larger 46:23	44:23 45:11	Marconi 1:12	Merrimack 1:24	nice 19:4
law 2:17,25 9:12	location 17:14	3:1 9:3 10:25	mind 15:1	Nicolosi 1:15
9:23 12:11,21	17:21,23 18:4	11:4,20 13:9	mirror 36:17	19:7,10 21:4
24:11 49:15,17	18:12 20:22	14:23 17:9	misses 41:12	21:21 22:17,21
lawyer 30:13,18	25:6 27:24	21:19 22:13,18	42:4	23:5,16 31:16
lawyers 12:4	28:3	22:22 23:6,17	missing 46:18	31:19,23 32:1
15:20 20:10	locations 25:22	24:10,13,15,22	moment 48:25	32:6,11 34:6
38:9 40:9	logical 11:3	25:1,24 26:21	month 23:19	40:1 49:9 50:6
43:14,15,21	logistics 43:19	27:1,4,7,22	28:5	50:10,17,20
lay 5:19	long 11:23,24	28:2,24 30:5	Morgan 37:12	51:6
leads 45:20	24:17 32:10	30:16 31:5,8	motion 21:15	night 52:2
learn 9:21 14:11	37:9	32:12 33:15	move 4:23 19:23	no-contact 50:1
14:21	longer 42:8	35:21 37:6	43:6 47:2	nodding 15:24
learned 7:14	look 4:17 5:10	39:1 40:2	43.047.2	
legal 2:19 7:4		41:17,22 43:9	N	non-not-new
9:7 20:11 24:7	11:23 12:14	44:6 45:5 46:3	N 53:1	12:16
31:21 35:4	13:3 15:11	46:17 48:6,8	name 19:13	nonprecedential
53:11	16:2 25:18	50:22 51:7	names 17:2 20:8	6:12,17 7:21
legislature 46:21	26:12 27:11	Martha 1:25	narrative 48:16	9:7,10,17,22
let's 5:16	29:4 30:25	Mary 1:17 2:2	national 16:13	10:1 11:6,8,13
lethal 22:4	39:2,4 42:25	7:3		12:12,15,20
ICUIAI 44.4	45:25	1.3	nationally 50:4	13:4,25 19:2

				Page 6
29:5 30:1	19:1 28:7,19	29:16 34:3	13:23	16:20
35:24	opinions 6:13	pan 31:4	Philosophy	pretty 5:1 25:20
nonpublished	11:16 12:3	paper 15:13	39:15	36:22 45:24
16:8,9	16:8,9 28:15	part 19:25 35:13	picked 37:18	prevented 7:20
north 18:3 26:7	30:1 33:13	43:22	piece 19:25	prevents 16:17
notes 10:1 13:11	35:25 36:3,20	participants	pieces 32:14	printed 43:13
49:12	36:25 41:9	3:15	38:17	prior 5:7 6:4,5
notice 21:23	44:4	participate 37:4	place 19:24	7:5
noting 9:4 28:25	opportunity	particular 12:5	28:16 51:15	private 10:20
number 27:8	5:11 21:17,24	18:17 20:16	point 4:22 21:25	pro 8:15
32:2	33:21	28:4,5 40:25	34:16 39:13	probability
numbers 25:18	option 23:10	47:22 48:10	46:18 49:6,25	50:12
31:11 44:8	oral 39:20,22	particularly	pointed 11:5	probably 3:14
45:9	order 8:9,21 9:1	12:19 21:13	points 15:4	30:17 39:3
NY 53:15	9:17,22 11:8	parties 8:16	Police 2:8	44:23
	12:15 21:21	9:19 18:10	policy 7:19	problem 22:12
0	24:7 26:20,24	parties' 18:20	possibility 50:13	32:22
O 53:1	27:17 28:1,13	partner 37:12	possible 14:4	proceedings
observation	32:17,20 45:23	party 25:6	possibly 20:18	53:5
10:13	50:14	patience 51:20	30:1	process 15:12,23
obtain 30:9	order's 27:8	Patricia 2:16	post 6:21	19:11 37:4
obvious 6:23	orders 6:7,12,17	18:7	posted 6:5,18,25	43:18
obviously 16:22	6:19,24 7:7,21	patterns 15:17	26:12 27:9	produce 51:15
occupation	7:23 8:5 9:5,7	pending 22:6	posting 6:7	Program 1:19
20:17 24:19	9:10 10:2,8,11	people 14:4 15:6	10:15 23:8	2:15,19,23,24
28:20	11:1,6 12:6,11	17:5,20 23:7	27:18 28:14	prohibition 7:17
occupations	12:12,20 13:4	25:14 27:17	42:6,7,9 48:13	10:15,20,21
24:1	13:25 14:3,21	30:6,12,18	potentially 17:3	prohibitions
occurred 15:8	21:1,14,20	31:13 34:10,12	26:19,25	6:10
Odom 53:3,10	22:3,5 23:2,15	34:21,23,24	practical 30:15	projects 51:11
offend 19:19	26:15 28:4	35:2,5 39:9,10	practically	prompted 5:22
Office 1:22 2:3	29:6,10 37:22	43:1 45:24	29:23 31:4	proof 35:13
officer 24:11	48:14,15 50:1	46:6 47:17	practice 37:10	proposed 13:16
official 26:14	50:4	49:18	practicing 12:1	protected 25:7
oh 7:12 29:15	ought 11:18	percolating	practitioners	protection 32:21
51:14	outcome 51:19	14:25	33:1	protective 28:16
Okay 24:20	outline 6:2	period 9:25 10:7	precedent 9:11	32:17 50:14
48:21 51:6	outlined 6:1	22:6 27:10	precedential	protocols 19:15
old 12:10,15	outside 51:3	person 19:2	6:13 9:5 12:10	provide 8:22
23:15 37:13	overruling	20:15 24:1,9	13:24 31:12	9:11 12:17
olden 37:21	12:22	40:8,9	41:10	13:6 23:21
once 21:7 23:18	overwhelm	personally 43:8	preliminarily	32:18
39:7 45:22	48:24	perspective 5:20	21:5	provides 12:24
one-off 4:24		51:2	preparing 34:1	providing 39:11
open 4:9	P	petition 14:12	34:5	provision 27:16
opened 33:10	pace 37:20	21:7,13 22:3	presence 6:6	42:17 46:8
openness 19:11	Paine 2:18 32:13	petitioner 17:6	present 1:11	proximity 39:9
opinion 8:21	50:6,11,18	petitioners 33:3	10:6	public 2:11 5:25
11:14 17:22	Pam 2:19 15:24	philosophical	presumably	6:19 8:25
		piiiiosopiiicai	presumanty	0.17 0.23
	l	l	I	l

Page	7
1 aue	- /

15011016	1		10041045	01.11
15:21 19:16	quiet 48:25	regimented 5:1	42:3 44:3 46:1	21:11
22:15 23:12,14	R	regular 8:7 36:1	46:3,22 48:23	section 40:25
23:21 27:24	$\frac{\mathbf{R}}{\mathbf{R}}$ 53:1	regularly 36:9	50:22 51:7	see 13:2 15:24
32:15 33:2,23		related 4:6	rights 19:10	16:23 17:20
36:11,19 37:3	R.L 7:9	relationship	risk 18:5 35:17	21:4 23:19
38:24 40:3	racking 24:4	35:15	36:16	31:13 33:23,24
46:14	radar 48:20	relative 31:12	road 45:3	39:13 41:19
public's 19:17	50:24	relax 37:16	role 3:20	51:17
publication 3:5	raise 26:17	relied 8:12	roll 26:10	seek 33:4 45:10
5:15 16:17	ran 50:16	relief 33:20	routinely 33:17	self-represented
25:5 43:10	rare 28:22	relying 5:23	38:11	8:16
publicly 25:4	rea 41:3	30:2	rub 38:24	senator 24:20
26:13 41:1	read 16:15	remedy 41:2,13	Ruel 2:3	sending 8:6
publish 6:11	20:18 30:10	41:21	rural 28:23	sense 9:4,24
35:24 45:8	38:15 40:24	remember 37:13		36:5,11 42:23
published 5:25	42:16	remiss 46:25	<u>S</u>	sensitive 22:8
10:2 12:3	readily 38:18	remove 19:24	S.K 7:8 8:10	sent 3:19 10:1
16:24 43:12	ready 48:22	41:14	Sarah 2:20	sentence 50:2
pull 13:15 23:15	real 25:22 35:17	report 36:7	45:21	sentencing
purpose 18:12	reality 13:6	47:18	satisfy 35:13	50:14
18:19	realize 16:7	reports 3:17	saying 13:10	serve 18:13
purposes 18:15	realized 42:7	repository 49:4	18:25 27:14	served 20:15
purview 48:12	really 11:7,15,17	represented	36:18	21:8 28:12
put 8:19 18:9	12:2 16:19	14:5	says 25:3 41:21	set 9:13
27:12,13 31:11	18:16 23:10,13	request 27:25	42:2	Seventh 53:14
37:8 40:23,24	23:21 25:8	28:10,11	scheduled 21:8	Sexton 2:11
41:6,9 50:5,23	26:23 28:16	requesting 47:1	Schollet 29:14	42:16
putting 7:20	34:18 35:14	require 21:2	Schollett 2:9	share 20:12 38:9
17:2 18:5,18	39:7 49:23,25	49:19 50:19	13:8,9 29:13	shared 4:19
43:3 44:4	50:3 51:12,13	research 10:17	29:16,19 30:11	22:11
51:21	51:13	resource 44:18	30:22 31:6	sharing 38:1
	reason 8:4 20:1	respond 35:3	Scott 2:5	shortly 51:23
Q	21:10	response 11:3	screen 48:20	shuts 41:24
qualitative 20:6	reasons 28:17	37:17 49:15	scrub 6:13,23	sick 39:3
question 17:10	recommendati	50:3	18:8,11 19:13	side 16:23 28:8
24:16 25:2,8	13:2 30:24	retitling 17:3	19:22 23:25	33:12 37:15
26:9 29:20	42:24 43:6	reveal 25:5	28:17 36:2	sides 36:1
40:1 41:18,25	45:16 49:6	review 5:21 7:9	41:8 46:2	significant
42:10 45:21	50:24	36:6,7	scrubbed 17:22	36:17 38:12
question/com	recommendati	Revolution 39:8	27:15	significantly
40:22	13:16 47:6,10	right 5:14 17:9	scrubbing 6:16	44:3
questioned 19:6	record 46:14	19:17 20:1	11:1 23:9,20	silently 26:1
questionnaire	51:9 53:5	22:13,15,18	se 8:15	situation 7:9
35:1	red 15:5	24:12,13,22	seal 21:16	slap 41:15
questions 35:4	redact 8:23	26:21 27:1,4	sealed 21:6	slower 37:20
35:19 40:12	redacting 17:1	27:22 28:2	search 23:2	small 18:3 25:12
quick 40:21 45:9	41:11	29:6 30:18	second 3:12	26:7 28:1,23
quickly 38:14	reference 40:7	32:5,12 33:18	45:16	smaller 25:19
45:24	referring 17:5	39:14 41:20,22	secret 18:21	so- 40:17
		35.11 11.20,22		30 1011/
	<u> </u>	ı	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				raye o
so-called 5:6	16:14,21 24:19	46:5	26:23 31:9	time 7:22 9:25
12:14	27:11,12	sure 4:16 36:15	37:7 39:17	14:22 15:25
solution 6:23	statistical 3:23	42:16 43:1,25	50:21,23	20:25 21:15
8:18	18:15	49:16	things 6:3 9:16	22:5,7,8 25:11
somebody 8:6	statistics 25:17	surmise 38:4	12:7 15:11	34:7 39:5 43:5
21:17 35:25	status 28:21	survivors 43:3	20:3,17 37:19	44:10,11 47:24
44:19	statute 11:18	43:17 44:22	39:4 44:14	today 3:20 47:7
somebody's	12:24 17:12	Susan 1:14	51:12	told 46:2
49:13	25:2 29:9 32:7	suspended 50:2	think 3:7,7,8,20	tomorrow 51:23
something's	35:12 41:4	system 4:15 7:24	4:8,18 6:15 9:3	tool 15:18
22:6	49:18 50:8	14:8 29:21	9:14,24 10:12	tools 14:1 15:25
sorry 29:15	statute's 50:15		11:6,7,11,21	33:6
sort 4:1 5:19 6:6	statutorily 40:19	T	12:8,20 13:1	topic 4:23 6:16
15:4,13 16:1	statutory 49:19	T 53:1,1	14:1,14,19	11:22 14:24
21:23 32:15	50:9,19	table 5:6,9	16:2,24 17:21	48:10
33:2,24 34:7	Steve 30:17 39:6	take 4:16 6:13	17:25 19:9,21	topics 47:2,9,14
34:25 35:16	42:18	20:25 25:13	20:10,20,24	48:1
37:25 39:4	Steven 1:23 16:5	26:8 42:25	21:9 22:7,10	tort 12:15
47:14 49:17	sticking 11:15	43:4	22:12 23:11,22	touchstone
50:16 51:1	stories 20:12	takes 32:16	24:5 25:10,20	47:15
sound 51:9	Strasburger	talk 20:2 34:16	26:9 28:14	town 18:2 20:16
space 12:19 13:3	2:13 35:22,23	talked 22:2 23:9	29:12 30:13,14	track 20:3,7
13:5,5 15:20	strictly 5:1	34:6 35:7	30:16 32:13,24	trained 14:10
15:21	structure 34:10	talking 15:19	33:9 34:9 35:2	training 14:1,15
speak 38:4	51:21	18:19 21:20	35:19 36:2,10	15:18 16:1
specific 9:12	stuff 49:7	23:24,25 35:8	36:16,18 37:2	32:25
specifically 4:13	subject 31:20	40:22	37:9 38:24	Transcriber
24:8	submit 40:14	task 1:6 3:3 4:5	39:6 42:2,17	53:11
speech 39:7	sues 18:1	4:13,19,25	42:19,20,23	transcript 53:4
spend 25:11	sufficiency 32:4	5:22 7:5 13:3	43:4,5 44:9,21	transparent
47:24	suggestion 50:25	15:10 51:4	45:2 50:18,23	30:20
spot 37:8	Suite 53:14	tasked 5:2 34:4	51:9,18	tremendous
spreading 39:10	summaries	tech 23:7	thinking 9:9	14:9
staff 15:22 38:10	38:11	technically 3:2	11:12 24:18	trends 39:2
stages 22:1	summary 31:19	temporary	25:11 31:9	trial 3:7 5:16,23
stakeholders	summons 43:23	21:13 22:3	39:18 47:23	12:6 17:16
31:2 42:25	Superior 1:15	28:13	third 3:2	21:20 48:13
standard 27:13	34:16	ten 28:4	thirty-minute	trigger 15:14
start 6:16	Supervisory	terms 9:12 18:18	34:20	true 53:4
started 3:10	2:25	44:8	thought 15:17	truth 34:18
6:11 11:25	support 35:23	thank 3:1,25	18:6 23:23	try 16:1 30:19
25:17 27:11	45:10	51:8,25	42:8,13 47:13	32:18 34:24
33:10 37:10	Supreme 1:13	thanks 48:23	thoughts 5:17	trying 4:7 15:22
starting 13:15	6:8 8:7 10:10	51:20,20	7:1 26:5 47:4	20:2 24:5
state 41:5	16:18 23:3	thereto 42:15	48:10	34:23 45:1,2
statement 12:23	26:15 28:7,15	they'd 30:5,6,8	three 48:3	47:18,19
statements	28:19 29:3	thin 47:5	three-year 10:7	TTA-Certified
12:11,21	34:12 39:19,23	thing 14:24	throw 48:11	53:11
states 10:21	43:23 45:14	18:22 26:5,18	Tim 26:2,10	twenty 47:17
•				

two 15:11 24:24
26:14 48:3 type 12:6 24:6 41:15 22:23 3:5 5:16 typed 37:15 types 9:16 23:2 27:21 29:4,7 typically 44:22 17:4,25 20:20 27:9 36:4 39:21,24 40:6 VIRTUAL 1:6 tolerer 47:24 48:4 48:4 tunderstand 8:25 understand 8:25
type 12:6 24:6
Violence 1:6 2:6
typed 37:15 2:23 3:5 5:16 week 3:11 Y Yazinski 1:16 7 Yazinski 1:16 Yazinski 1:11 Yazinski 1:11
types 9:16 23:2
Triangle
typically 44:22 U ultimately 21:22 Um-hum 23:5 50:10 51:6 underlying 27:25 32:14 understand 8:25 understanding 7:15 11:18 32:15 understood 33:11 understood 33:11 unfolds 48:18 uniquely 24:18 United 24:19 unrepresented 13:20 30:3 updated 32:25 38:16 upholds 32:20 uploading 49:7 user-friendly 30:21 user-friendly 30:21 utilizing 27:5 Vintable 10:25 18:7 19:9;20 21:21 22:17,21 23:16 24:14,23 25:3 25:22 26:18 30:9 31:5,8,16 31:16 32:9;11 35:21 39:1 45:7 50:17,20 51:25 year 7:6 23:1 28:5 year 7:6 23
U
U ultimately 21:22
Ultimately 21:22
Um-hum 23:5 visit 45:11 wide 51:10,13 30:9 31:5,8,16 underlying 27:25 32:14 volunteer 47:24 withdraw 21:17 withdraw 21:17 understand 8:25 wait 29:18 withdraw 21:17 withdraw 21:17 woke 10:4 Women 6:11 35:21 39:1 understood walk 27:24 28:9 want 3:11 4:5,8 26:11 47:8,12 wonder 29:22 years 5:7 9:9 33:11 want 3:11 4:5,8 26:11 47:8,12 wonder 29:22 wonderful 39:7 York 53:15 United 24:19 warning 15:4 warning 15:4 wondering Z understood warning 15:4 wonderful 39:7 wondering United 24:19 warning 15:4 wondering 16:14 39:21 Z unploated 32:25 49:16 work 4:20 21:3 23:12,13 24:2 2 uploading 49:7 was 8:8,22 9:6 17:7,12 31:25 47:9 10001 53:15 user-friendly 35:24 36:2 35:24 36:2 35:24 36:2 36:0 45:6 173-B 33:20
50:10 51:6 underlying 27:25 32:14 understand 8:25 understanding 7:15 11:18 32:15 understood 33:11 unfolds 48:18 uniquely 24:18 United 24:19 unrepresented 13:20 30:3 updated 32:25 38:16 upholds 32:20 uploading 49:7 user-friendly 30:21 utilizing 27:5 Wash 17:24 48:4 volunteers 47:24 48:4 volunteers 47:8 v
underlying 48:4 volunteers 47:8 withdraw 21:17 31:16 32:9,11 understand 8:25 wait 29:18 woke 10:4 Women 6:11 7:15 11:18 woke 10:4 Women 6:11 7:16 16:12,16 51:25 year 7:6 23:1 28:5 year 7:6 23:1 28:1 28:5 28:5 year 7:6 23:1
27:25 32:14
understand 8:25 Worder 10:4 Worder 10:4 45:7 50:17,20 7:15 11:18 wait 29:18 Women 6:11 7:15 16:12,16 17:25 20:21 28:5 understood walk 27:24 28:9 27:10 36:4 year 7:6 23:1 28:5 unfolds 48:18 26:11 47:8,12 wonder 29:22 years 5:7 9:9 42:6 uniquely 24:18 warning 15:4 wonderful 39:7 York 53:15 unrepresented warnings 15:15 warnings 15:15 wondering Z 13:20 30:3 warnings 15:15 wording 10:18 0 upholds 32:20 watching 26:1 way 8:8,22 9:6 42:20 45:18 117:4 uploading 49:7 17:7,12 31:25 47:9 10001 53:15 30:21 38:12 41:7 45:6 173-B 33:20
understanding W wait 29:18 Women 6:11 51:25 32:15 waking 6:9 walk 27:24 28:9 7:16 16:12,16 17:25 20:21 28:5 understood walk 27:24 28:9 27:10 36:4 year 7:6 23:1 28:5 unfolds 48:18 26:11 47:8,12 wonder 29:22 year 5:7 9:9 42:6 uniquely 24:18 warning 15:4 wonderful 39:7 York 53:15 United 24:19 warnings 15:15 wondering 16:14 39:21 York 53:15 updated 32:25 14:12 48:12 wording 10:18 wording 10:18 0 upholds 32:20 watching 26:1 23:12,13 24:2 1 uploading 49:7 way 8:8,22 9:6 42:20 45:18 117:4 user-friendly 17:7,12 31:25 47:9 10001 53:15 30:21 38:12 41:7 45:6 173-B 33:20
7:15 11:18 wait 29:18 wait 29:18 7:16 16:12,16 7:25 20:21 7:16 16:12,16 <
32:15
understood walk 27:24 28:9 27:10 36:4 years 5:7 9:9 33:11 want 3:11 4:5,8 39:25 42:6 unfolds 48:18 26:11 47:8,12 wonder 29:22 Yep 25:1 uniquely 24:18 warning 15:4 wonderful 39:7 York 53:15 United 24:19 warnings 15:15 wondering Z unrepresented 13:20 30:3 wasn't 3:15 wondering updated 32:25 14:12 48:12 wording 10:18 0 upholds 32:20 watching 26:1 23:12,13 24:2 1 uploading 49:7 way 8:8,22 9:6 42:20 45:18 17:4 user-friendly 35:24 36:2 workaround 101 39:15 utilizing 27:5 38:12 41:7 45:6 173-B 33:20
33:11
unfolds 48:18 26:11 47:8,12 wonder 29:22 Yep 25:1 uniquely 24:18 wanted 46:5 wonderful 39:7 United 24:19 warning 15:4 wondering unrepresented warnings 15:15 wondering 13:20 30:3 wasn't 3:15 wording 10:18 updated 32:25 49:16 work 4:20 21:3 upholds 32:20 watching 26:1 work 4:20 21:3 uploading 49:7 way 8:8,22 9:6 42:20 45:18 17:7,4 user-friendly 17:7,12 31:25 47:9 workaround 30:21 35:24 36:2 workaround 101 39:15 utilizing 27:5 38:12 41:7 45:6 173-B 33:20
uniquely 24:18 wanted 46:5 wonderful 39:7 United 24:19 warning 15:4 wonderful 39:7 unrepresented warnings 15:15 wasn't 3:15 44:14 13:20 30:3 14:12 48:12 wording 10:18 updated 32:25 49:16 work 4:20 21:3 upholds 32:20 watching 26:1 23:12,13 24:2 uploading 49:7 way 8:8,22 9:6 42:20 45:18 user-friendly 17:7,12 31:25 47:9 30:21 35:24 36:2 workaround utilizing 27:5 38:12 41:7 45:6
United 24:19 unrepresented 13:20 30:3 updated 32:25 38:16 upholds 32:20 uploading 49:7 user-friendly 30:21 utilizing 27:5 warning 15:4 warnings 15:15 wasn't 3:15 and 15:15 wasn't 3:15 and 15:15 wasn't 3:15 and 15:15 wasn't 3:15 and 15:14 and 15:14 and 15:15 and 15:1
unrepresented warnings 15:15 wasn't 3:15 16:14 39:21 Z updated 32:25 14:12 48:12 wording 10:18 0 upholds 32:20 watching 26:1 work 4:20 21:3 uploading 49:7 way 8:8,22 9:6 42:20 45:18 17:7,42 31:25 user-friendly 17:7,12 31:25 47:9 10001 53:15 30:21 35:24 36:2 workaround 101 39:15 utilizing 27:5 38:12 41:7 45:6 173-B 33:20
13:20 30:3 wasn't 3:15 44:14 Zinkin 2:25 updated 32:25 49:16 wording 10:18 0 upholds 32:20 watching 26:1 23:12,13 24:2 1 uploading 49:7 way 8:8,22 9:6 42:20 45:18 17:7,4 user-friendly 30:21 35:24 36:2 workaround 45:6 101 39:15 utilizing 27:5 38:12 41:7 45:6 173-B 33:20
updated 32:25 14:12 48:12 wording 10:18 38:16 49:16 work 4:20 21:3 upholds 32:20 watching 26:1 23:12,13 24:2 uploading 49:7 way 8:8,22 9:6 42:20 45:18 17:7,12 31:25 47:9 10001 53:15 30:21 35:24 36:2 workaround utilizing 27:5 38:12 41:7 45:6 173-B 33:20
38:16 49:16 work 4:20 21:3 upholds 32:20 watching 26:1 23:12,13 24:2 uploading 49:7 way 8:8,22 9:6 42:20 45:18 17:7.4 user-friendly 17:7,12 31:25 47:9 10001 53:15 30:21 35:24 36:2 workaround 101 39:15 utilizing 27:5 38:12 41:7 45:6 173-B 33:20
upholds 32:20 watching 26:1 23:12,13 24:2 uploading 49:7 way 8:8,22 9:6 42:20 45:18 1 17:4 user-friendly 17:7,12 31:25 47:9 10001 53:15 30:21 35:24 36:2 workaround 101 39:15 utilizing 27:5 38:12 41:7 45:6 173-B 33:20
uploading 49:7 way 8:8,22 9:6 42:20 45:18 1 17:4 user-friendly 17:7,12 31:25 47:9 10001 53:15 30:21 35:24 36:2 workaround 101 39:15 utilizing 27:5 38:12 41:7 45:6 173-B 33:20
user-friendly 17:7,12 31:25 47:9 10001 53:15 30:21 35:24 36:2 workaround 101 39:15 utilizing 27:5 38:12 41:7 45:6 173-B 33:20
30:21 35:24 36:2 workaround 45:6 101 39:15 173-B 33:20
utilizing 27:5 38:12 41:7 45:6 173-B 33:20
173-D 55.20
42:17,19 43:11 worker 47:15 18 1:7
V 48:15 49:12,24 working 14:5 18 1:7
v 7:8,9 ways 43:20 30:25 32:23 2
valid 10:12 we're 3:21 4:6,9 47:3,5,9,25 2 17:4 53:17
various 47:14 10:6 18:8,19 works 23:11 2011 6:4
VAWA 40:25 20:1,2 23:22 40:5 2014 10:3
41:20 46:8 23:24,25 27:18 world 37:23 2017 10:3
versus 11:14 40:22 46:1 worry 19:18 2018 10:6
Vicinanzo 2:15 48:7 51:18 worth 11:12 2010 10:0 2022 1:7 53:17
51:25 we've 7:6 10:5 wouldn't 17:24
victim 1:18 18:5 22:2,25 23:9 88:10 19:14,16 3
20:23,23 41:9 23:19 27:11 21:9 26:8 29:1 3 11:11
46:13,13 49:1,20 29:24,24 352 53:14
Victim/Witness web 6:6 29.24,24 wrist 41:15 3JX 9:16 16:8
2:4 Webex 1:8 write 47:18 19:1
victims 7:18 website 7:13 writing 47:16
13:19 writing 47.10 4